SYNAPSES: INSIGHTS ACROSS THE DISCIPLINES

ISSN: 3060-4737 Volume 3, Issue 2 IF(Impact Factor) 10.98 / 2025

IMPROVING TREATMENT EFFICIENCY AND REDUCING ENERGY CONSUMPTION THROUGH THE SELECTION OF AN ELECTROCHEMICAL REACTOR AND ELECTRODE SYSTEM

Zoirov Sirojiddin Sahomiddin o‘g‘li
Termiz davlat muhandislik va agrotexnologiyalar universiteti magistranti
sirojiddinzoirov5@gmail.com

Abstract. This study proposes an engineering framework for improving industrial wastewater treatment efficiency while reducing specific energy consumption through rational selection of electrochemical reactor architecture and electrode system design. The work synthesizes electrochemical and transport principles to identify the dominant energy drivers in practical cells, including ohmic losses, non-uniform current distribution, gas holdup, and electrode passivation. Design logic is structured around voltage decomposition, Faradaic charge utilization, and mass-transfer-limited operation, translating these concepts into actionable criteria for choosing reactor typologies such as parallel-plate stacks, flow-by channels, tubular cells, flow-through assemblies, and three-dimensional electrode configurations. The analysis emphasizes how electrode material choice and surface stability influence oxidation capability, coagulant generation efficiency, and long-term operating reliability, and it outlines optimization priorities for electrode spacing, effective area, hydraulic regime, and maintenance strategy. The proposed approach supports the development of compact, modular, and scalable electrochemical treatment systems capable of handling variable industrial matrices while achieving improved removal per unit charge and lower energy intensity.

Keywords. electrochemical reactor design, electrode system selection, industrial wastewater treatment, energy consumption, ohmic losses, current distribution, mass transfer, electrode spacing, passivation control, process optimization

INTRODUCTION

Electrochemical treatment performance in industrial wastewater applications is often constrained less by reaction chemistry and more by reactor architecture and electrode system choice. For electrocoagulation, electroflotation, and electrooxidation, the same influent composition can yield radically different removal and energy outcomes depending on current distribution, ohmic losses, mass transfer, bubble hydrodynamics, and electrode surface condition. Modern reviews explicitly identify reactor configuration as a dominant factor controlling removal efficiency, passivation, and energy consumption, with electrode geometry and arrangement repeatedly

ISSN: 3060-4737 Volume 3, Issue 2 IF(Impact Factor) 10.98 / 2025

highlighted as decisive design levers for practical scale up [1]. In parallel, reactor design studies for electrochemical oxidation using boron doped diamond and related systems emphasize that geometry, flow regime, and hydrodynamics can substantially change disinfection and oxidation efficiency at a given electrical input, thereby shifting the energy cost of treatment [2]. This article develops an engineering framework for selecting electrochemical reactor types and electrode systems to increase treatment efficiency and reduce energy consumption, integrating electrochemical laws, transport theory, and reported quantitative performance ranges.

METHODS

The analysis follows a design for performance approach based on charge balance, voltage losses, and mass transfer limits. Reactor and electrode selection criteria were synthesized from peer reviewed reviews and reactor focused studies covering electrocoagulation and electrooxidation, with emphasis on industrially relevant constraints such as conductivity variability, scaling and fouling, modularity, maintainability, and energy intensity. The framework treats the electrochemical cell voltage as the sum of equilibrium potential, kinetic overpotentials, and ohmic drop, then links each term to controllable design variables such as electrode spacing, surface area, turbulence promoters, and flow through versus batch operation. For oxidation dominated reactors, the limiting current concept is used to identify when increasing current no longer increases reaction rate because transport to the electrode surface becomes controlling, a principle emphasized in electrochemical reactor engineering literature [3]. Performance statistics and energy ranges are drawn from recent industrial wastewater electrocoagulation compilations and applied reports, including multi matrix energy consumption ranges and cost benchmarks [4] and a low energy electrocoagulation case report indicating that very low kWh per cubic meter can be achievable when geometry and operating conditions are optimized for conductivity and dosing efficiency [5]. Reactor configuration advances in electrochemical treatment of refractory organics are considered to support the selection of two dimensional and three dimensional reactor concepts that increase effective area and contact efficiency [6].

RESULTS

A first order determinant of energy consumption is ohmic drop, which grows with electrode gap and decreases with higher conductivity. The specific energy consumption per treated volume is commonly computed as

$$E = U\,I\,t / V$$

Energy minimization therefore requires reducing U at fixed removal, and U reduction in most industrial cells is primarily achieved by lowering solution resistance through shorter current paths and better conductivity management. Electrode spacing is a direct geometric control of solution resistance, so a tight, uniform inter electrode gap is one of the most powerful design choices for lowering energy. However, excessively small gaps increase clogging risk in solids rich streams and intensify bubble shielding, so spacing must be selected alongside pretreatment, flow regime, and cleaning strategy.

Electrode area and current density set the charge flux available to generate coagulant species or oxidants. The theoretical coagulant dose generated by sacrificial electrodes is governed by Faraday's law

$$m = I\,t\,M / z\,F$$

For a required pollutant removal, increasing electrode area allows operation at lower current density while maintaining the same total current, which often improves current efficiency by reducing parasitic gas evolution and limiting localized heating. This is consistent with industrial electrocoagulation evidence that energy consumption spans a wide range depending on matrix and operating window, with recent compilations reporting energy ranges from roughly 2.37 to 11.42 kWh per cubic meter for tannery wastewater in representative cases, illustrating how current density and reactor design can shift operating cost substantially [4]. At the low end, a separate electrocoagulation report documents energy consumption of 0.05 kWh per cubic meter under specific conditions in a low conductivity application, indicating that geometric and operational optimization can deliver very low electrical intensity when charge utilization is high and ohmic losses are minimized [5]. These statistics are not contradictory; they demonstrate that energy is a design outcome rather than an intrinsic property of the method.

For oxidation reactors, a critical result is that performance improvements saturate when the operating current exceeds the mass transfer limited regime. Reactor engineering literature notes that above the limiting current density, reaction rate becomes controlled by mass transport rather than applied current, so energy efficiency deteriorates unless the design increases mass transfer to the electrode surface [3]. This directly motivates designs that increase turbulence, reduce diffusion layer thickness, and promote uniform flow across the electrode. Reactor design reviews for refractory organic wastewater highlight that improved configurations such as three dimensional electrochemical reactors increase contact area and mass transfer efficiency by expanding effective electrode area and enhancing fluid contact, which can raise removal at lower effective current density [6]. Similarly, tubular, porous, and flow through assemblies are repeatedly proposed to mitigate mass transfer limitation, though they must be engineered to avoid excessive pressure drop and pumping energy [7].

Electrode material selection impacts both kinetics and stability. For electrocoagulation and electroflotation, aluminum and iron remain dominant because they generate effective hydroxide flocs and can be cost effective, but electrode passivation and scaling can reduce active area and increase voltage. A recent article in press emphasizes that optimized electrode design reduces passivation and lowers energy consumption, reinforcing that maintenance oriented selection criteria are part of energy optimization rather than an afterthought [1]. For electrooxidation, boron doped diamond is widely reported as highly effective and resistant for advanced oxidation, and reactor design studies explicitly link configuration to energy consumption and oxidation efficiency in BDD systems [2,8]. The practical selection rule is that anode material should match the oxidation target and chloride chemistry, while reactor geometry should ensure that mass transfer and bubble removal do not throttle current efficiency.

A defensible selection strategy begins by classifying the wastewater matrix into conductivity level, solids and oil loading, and the fraction of dissolved refractory organics, then choosing a reactor family that minimizes voltage and maximizes charge utilization under those constraints. For electrocoagulation and electroflotation dominated treatment, parallel plate stacks with controlled spacing remain robust for many industrial streams because they provide uniform current paths and are easy to scale modularly. Within this family, energy reduction comes from short and uniform current paths, high effective area, and flow regimes that prevent dead zones and allow rapid bubble disengagement. Flow through operation is preferred when the plant requires steady state control and compact footprint, but it must be paired with hydraulic design that prevents channeling and ensures equal residence time distribution.

For systems that require electrooxidation polishing, the selection pivot is avoiding mass transfer limitation and minimizing parasitic oxygen evolution per unit removal. If the design operates above the limiting current, energy is converted into gas evolution and heat rather than contaminant conversion, a central concept in electrochemical reactor theory [3]. Consequently, oxidation reactor selection should prioritize hydrodynamic intensification and high area contact while maintaining manageable pumping energy. Three dimensional electrode concepts, turbulence promoters, and flow through geometries can increase mass transfer and effective area, improving removal at lower nominal current density, but they require careful control to avoid short circuiting, clogging, and uneven current distribution [6,7]. Reactor design studies for BDD based electrochemical treatment further support that configuration choices influence both efficiency and energy consumption, making geometry a primary control variable rather than a secondary detail [2,8].

Energy minimization is also a maintenance problem. Passivation increases cell voltage and reduces effective area, so the best energy design is often the design that stays clean the longest under real solids and scaling conditions. This supports electrode systems that are easy to clean, swap, and inspect, with surface finishes and polarity switching strategies chosen to reduce scaling when compatible with treatment objectives. The electrode material choice must include lifetime and stability under the intended current density and electrolyte environment, because replacement frequency and downtime translate directly into effective energy and cost. The literature trend toward electrode design innovation and configuration optimization is consistent with this view, explicitly connecting geometry to passivation reduction and energy savings [1].

DISCUSSION

Electrochemical reactors for industrial wastewater treatment should be treated as engineered energy-conversion devices rather than simple tanks with electrodes. The reactor and the electrode system determine how efficiently electrical charge is converted into useful treatment work such as in situ coagulant generation, microbubble production, or oxidant flux, and they also determine whether that work is delivered uniformly throughout the cell volume. Reactor performance is therefore governed by coupled electrical, hydrodynamic, and interfacial phenomena: current distribution, ohmic resistance, mass transfer, gas holdup, electrode passivation, and fouling. Modern reactor overviews emphasize that configuration and electrode construction strongly shape achievable efficiency and scale-up reliability in wastewater applications, especially when moving from benchtop cells to continuous industrial units [1].

A central quantitative starting point is the energy intensity of treatment. For any electrochemical process operated at a given current and voltage, the volumetric electrical energy consumption is commonly expressed as:

$$E = U\,I\,t / V$$

In this relationship, E is energy per treated volume, U is cell voltage, I is current, t is treatment time, and V is treated volume. Reducing energy at a fixed effluent target requires reducing voltage at fixed current-time dose, reducing required current-time dose through improved charge utilization, or both. In many wastewater cells, voltage is dominated by ohmic losses and contact resistances rather than by equilibrium thermodynamics, so geometry and electrical design become the most direct energy levers [1]. Inter-electrode spacing is particularly influential because a shorter current path reduces solution resistance and therefore reduces voltage for the same current. At the same time, overly narrow gaps can increase bubble shielding, clogging risk in solids-rich streams, and sensitivity to scaling. This tradeoff is repeatedly highlighted in

ISSN: 3060-4737 Volume 3, Issue 2 IF(Impact Factor) 10.98 / 2025

applied electrocoagulation design discussions, where wider spacing tends to lower operational blockage risk but increases electrical losses, while narrower spacing improves field strength and coagulation kinetics but can raise energy and maintenance burden if gas holdup and scaling are not controlled [2].

For sacrificial-electrode systems, the electrode choice and the dosing logic are fundamentally Faradaic. The theoretical amount of electrode metal dissolved and available to hydrolyze into hydroxide flocs is governed by Faraday's law:

$$m = I\,t\,M / z\,F$$

Here, m is dissolved anode mass, M is molar mass, z is electrons transferred, and F is the Faraday constant. This equation makes reactor design inseparable from dosing strategy, because current density is set by current divided by effective electrode area. Increasing effective area allows operation at lower current density for the same total current, which typically improves current efficiency by suppressing parasitic gas evolution and localized overheating. The practical importance is visible in reported energy statistics: continuous electrocoagulation literature includes cases where turbidity removal around 82.29 percent was achieved with energy consumption around 0.7142 kWh per cubic meter using aluminum electrodes under a particular configuration, illustrating that moderate energy operation is feasible when geometry and conductivity are favorable [3]. Conversely, other studies report much higher energy values under different matrices and current density choices, such as specific energy consumption reaching 18.2 kWh per cubic meter in a laboratory wastewater study at an identified operating window, showing how current density and voltage losses can quickly dominate costs when charge utilization is poor or resistance is high [4]. These differences are best interpreted as reactor-and-window outcomes rather than contradictions.

Current distribution is a second dominant driver of both efficiency and electrode lifetime. Even when total current is correct, nonuniform distribution creates high-current regions that accelerate passivation, pitting, and scaling, while low-current regions contribute little to treatment. Parallel-plate stacks are widely used because they can provide relatively predictable current pathways and are scalable by modular replication, but only if spacing uniformity, flow distribution, and electrical contacts are well engineered. Poor busbar design or uneven clamping pressure can raise contact resistance and add voltage without improving removal. The result is a hidden energy penalty that is often misattributed to water quality variability. Reactor engineering reviews repeatedly stress that electrical connections and geometry deserve the same design rigor as the electrochemistry itself [1].

Mass transfer becomes decisive for oxidation-focused systems and for any reactor operated near transport limits. When electrooxidation is used to degrade refractory dissolved organics, the reaction rate at the anode can become limited by how fast contaminants reach the electrode surface. Under such conditions, increasing applied current does not proportionally increase removal and instead increases parasitic reactions such as oxygen evolution. Reactor design reviews for refractory organic wastewater treatment emphasize that improved configurations aim to raise mass transfer efficiency by increasing contact area and enhancing hydrodynamics, and they explicitly point out that three-dimensional electrochemical reactors can achieve higher mass transfer by increasing effective electrode-fluid contact [5]. Recent applied work on BDD electrooxidation also reinforces that hydrodynamic conditions and electrode morphology significantly influence performance, indicating that reactor physics is a key lever for energy-efficient oxidation rather than a secondary detail [6]. In practice, this pushes designers toward flow-by channels with controlled turbulence, short diffusion paths, and stable gas disengagement rather than quiescent batch tanks.

Electroflotation introduces an additional hydrodynamic and electrical coupling because gas generation is both a functional separation tool and a source of resistance and shielding. Fine hydrogen and oxygen bubbles improve flotation performance by increasing collision frequency and attachment probability, especially for small particles and flocs. Experimental studies have explicitly investigated hydrogen bubble size as a function of current density and electrode geometry, demonstrating that bubble characteristics are controllable through electrode construction and electrical operating conditions rather than being a fixed property of the method [7]. From a reactor standpoint, bubbles affect conductivity pathways and can increase apparent resistance by reducing effective cross-sectional conduction area. Therefore, a reactor designed to benefit from flotation must also prevent bubble crowding near electrode surfaces and avoid dead zones where sludge accumulates. Flow distribution, electrode orientation, surface finish, and appropriate hydraulic shear are the practical tools that maintain small bubbles while enabling rapid disengagement.

Electrode material selection must be framed as a combined question of electrochemical function, stability under the intended potential regime, and compatibility with fouling and cleaning cycles. For electrocoagulation and electroflotation, aluminum and iron sacrificial anodes remain dominant because they generate hydroxide flocs that are effective for colloids, emulsions, and many metal species. Their main engineering limitations are consumption rate, passivation, and sludge characteristics, which must be managed through current density, polarity strategies where appropriate, and cleaning protocols. For electrooxidation, nonsacrificial anodes are required; boron-doped diamond is widely regarded as a high-performance non-active anode for advanced oxidation because it supports strong hydroxyl radical generation and high oxygen evolution overpotential, enabling deep oxidation of persistent contaminants in many contexts [8]. Reviews on BDD continue to emphasize that reactor design and operating conditions strongly influence energy consumption and byproduct control, so electrode choice alone is insufficient without a compatible reactor architecture [8].

Reactor typology selection should be driven by wastewater class and dominant removal mechanism. For matrices dominated by suspended solids, colloids, emulsified oils, and metals that can be captured or precipitated, two-dimensional parallel-plate electrocoagulation reactors with integrated flotation zones are often effective because they combine Faradaic dosing with rapid separation, minimizing the time flocs remain in the high-field region where re-dispersion and electrode fouling can occur. For matrices dominated by dissolved refractory organics that require oxidation, flow-by or tubular electrooxidation cells with engineered hydrodynamics are preferred to increase mass transfer and maintain stable electrode polarization. Where intensification is required, three-dimensional reactors and porous electrode concepts can raise effective area and contact, but they must be engineered to avoid clogging and uneven potential distribution, a point repeatedly highlighted in reactor design reviews for refractory organic wastewater [5].

Across all configurations, the energy minimization strategy follows a consistent logic. Reduce ohmic losses by minimizing electrode spacing within solids-handling constraints and by ensuring high-quality electrical contacts. Increase effective electrode area to operate at moderate current density and improve current efficiency. Prevent passivation and scaling through material choice and maintenance-compatible geometry. Avoid mass-transfer limitation in oxidation systems by improving hydrodynamics and contact rather than by increasing current. Finally, design for maintainability, because the best laboratory reactor geometry can become energetically inferior in industry if it requires frequent shutdowns or if fouling increases voltage over time. The literature base on electrochemical reactors for wastewater treatment consistently converges on this integrated view: the reactor and electrode system are the primary determinants of efficiency, stability, and scale-up feasibility, and optimization requires treating electrical design, transport, and surface phenomena as one coupled engineering problem [1].

1-photo. Improving treatment efficiency and reducing energy consumption through the selection of an electrochemical reactor and electrode system

A practical electrochemical reactor is best evaluated by how efficiently it converts electrical charge into useful treatment work. Two quantitative relationships are central.

First, Faraday’s law governs electro-generated reagent production, especially for electrocoagulation using sacrificial electrodes. The theoretical dissolved anode mass is

$$m = I\,t\,M / z\,F$$

where m is anode mass dissolved, I is current, t is time, M is molar mass, z is electron number, and F is the Faraday constant. This equation means the coagulant dose is fundamentally a function of charge. Therefore, electrode area and current density determine whether that dose is generated efficiently or wasted through side reactions.

Second, specific electrical energy consumption is commonly expressed as

$E = U I t / V$

where E is energy per treated volume, U is cell voltage, I is current, t is time, and V is treated volume. Energy reduction, for a fixed treatment endpoint, requires lowering U and reducing unnecessary treatment time. In practice, U is dominated by ohmic losses in the electrolyte and contact resistances, especially when conductivity is moderate or low.

Voltage decomposition and why geometry matters

Cell voltage can be conceptualized as

$U = Ueq + \eta a + \eta c + I R$

where Ueq is the equilibrium voltage, ηa and ηc are anodic and cathodic overpotentials, and I R represents ohmic losses. For most wastewater reactors operating at industrially relevant currents, the I R term can become the dominant contributor. This is why electrode spacing and electrolyte conductivity are primary design variables. Reducing inter-electrode gap decreases solution resistance and can markedly reduce voltage, but gaps must remain large enough to avoid clogging, bubble shielding, and short-circuit risk in solids-rich streams.

CONCLUSION

Selecting an electrochemical reactor and electrode system to increase treatment efficiency and reduce energy consumption requires an integrated view of voltage losses, mass transfer limits, and electrode stability. The dominant energy levers are minimizing ohmic drop through optimized electrode spacing and conductivity management, operating at current densities that maximize pollutant removal per unit charge, and designing hydrodynamics to prevent transport limitation in oxidation processes. Reactor engineering theory indicates that exceeding the limiting current shifts control to mass transfer and worsens energy efficiency unless the design increases surface renewal and contact efficiency [3]. Contemporary reactor design reviews for refractory organics and BDD based systems show that improved configurations, including three dimensional and flow intensified reactors, can raise performance by increasing effective area and mass transfer, thereby reducing the charge required for a given endpoint [2,6]. Reported energy statistics ranging from very low kWh per cubic meter in optimized cases to multi kWh per cubic meter in difficult industrial matrices confirm that energy intensity is primarily a design and operating window outcome rather than a fixed method attribute [4,5]. A practical development pathway therefore couples electrode material selection with geometry that enables uniform current distribution, controllable bubble management, and maintainability, delivering a scalable and energy efficient platform for integrated electrochemical wastewater treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Muddemann T et al. Electrochemical Reactors for Wastewater Treatment. 2019.
2. Yusmaini N A et al. Electrocoagulation strategies for oily wastewater treatment with discussion of electrode spacing effects. 2026.
3. Al-Qodah Z et al. Continuous Electrocoagulation Processes for Industrial Wastewater with reported energy consumption values. 2025.
4. Fatimura M et al. Evaluation of Electrocoagulation Process Efficiency with reported specific energy consumption. 2025.
5. Liu J et al. Recent Advances in Reactor Design for Industrial Refractory Organic Wastewater Treatment. 2022.
6. Gibert-Vilas M et al. Enhanced mass transport and hydrodynamic influence in BDD electro-oxidation. 2025.
7. Sarkar M S K A et al. Bubble size in electroflotation as a function of current density and electrode geometry. 2010.
8. Tasca A L. Electrochemical remediation with boron-doped diamond and mechanistic considerations for persistent contaminants. 2025.
9. Karimov R.A. Electrochemical methods in wastewater treatment: A review. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 2017. 15(2), 213-224.
10. Muminov A.T. Application of electrocoagulation in industrial wastewater treatment. Environmental Engineering and Management Journal, 2019. 18(5), 1129-1137.
11. Kholbekov U. X., Turgunov S. Hybrid electrochemical technologies for the treatment of industrial effluents: Current trends and future prospects. Wastewater Treatment Science, 2020. 23(1), 45-53.
12. Nurmatov I. B. Electrooxidation processes for organic pollutant removal in industrial wastewater. Chemical Engineering and Technology, 2018. 41(4), 652-660.
13. Akhmedov O. M. Electrochemical systems for industrial water treatment: An overview. Journal of Applied Chemistry, 2021. 35(3), 125-134.
14. Muhammadieva D.A., Hadjibaev D.A., Erkabaev F.E. Improving the electrochemical method of wastewater treatment // International Journal of Chemical Technology and Control Methods. – 2020. – Vol. 3. – P. 45-52.
15. Erkabaev F. Composition and properties of industrial wastewater and its electrochemical treatment // E3S Web of Conferences. – 2024. – Vol. 401. – Art. 01002.

16. Boykobilov D., Shaislamov U. Electrochemical synthesis and modification of novel TiO2 nanotubes: Chemistry and role of key synthesis parameters for photocatalytic applications in energy and environment // Inorganic and Nano-Metal Chemistry. – 2024. – P. 1-15.

17. Shaislamov U. Unveiling the origin of efficient photocatalytic degradation of nitazoxanide over bismuth oxyiodide crystalline phases // Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering. – 2023. – Vol. 11. – Art. 110567.

18. Costa J.M., Martinez-Huitle C.A. Considerations on electrochemical technologies for water purification and wastewater treatment // Processes. – 2023. – Vol. 11. – P. 1-15.