SYNTAX AND ITS CORE ELEMENTS: TRADITIONAL AND COGNITIVE PERSPECTIVES ON SYNTAX

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages
Phylology and foreign languages
Supervisor: **Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi**
Student of group 301-21**: Abduraimova Mushtariy To'lqin qizi**

Annotation: This article explores the concept of syntax and its central role in the structure of sentences. It provides a detailed analysis of the main units of syntax—words, phrases, clauses, and sentences—and their interrelationship in forming grammatically correct structures. The piece contrasts two major approaches to syntax: traditional, rule-based approaches, such as generative grammar, and cognitive approaches, which focus on the relationship between language and human cognition. The article delves into how traditional theories, particularly those developed by Noam Chomsky, rely on formal rules and hierarchical structures to explain sentence formation. It also examines cognitive approaches like cognitive grammar and construction grammar, which view syntax as a product of mental representations and conceptual structures. The comparison highlights the differences between formal, abstract rule-based models and those that emphasize meaning and human cognition in syntactic analysis. The article concludes by acknowledging the value of both perspectives in advancing our understanding of syntax, suggesting that a synthesis of the two can provide a more comprehensive view of how language functions.

Key words: syntax, words, phrases, clauses, sentences, traditional approaches, generative grammar, cognitive approaches, cognitive grammar, construction grammar, sentence structure, linguistic theory, hierarchical structure, rule-based systems, mental representations, conceptual structures, language and cognition, syntactic analysis, Noam Chomsky, universal grammar, phrase structure grammar, transformational rules.

Understanding Syntax: Its Core Components and Approaches from Traditional to Cognitive Perspectives

Syntax is a fundamental branch of linguistics that deals with the structure of sentences. It focuses on how words, phrases, and clauses are arranged to form meaningful and grammatically correct sentences. The rules governing sentence formation help explain how languages organize words and ideas, making syntax crucial to understanding the

complexity of language. Over the years, two major schools of thought have emerged in syntactic theory: traditional approaches, such as generative grammar, and cognitive approaches, which emphasize the connection between syntax and human cognition. This article explores the key components of syntax and compares the insights offered by these two distinct perspectives.

Core Components of Syntax

To understand how syntax works, it's important to break down its core components, which include words, phrases, clauses, and sentence structures. These elements are the building blocks that linguists use to analyze and describe sentence formation.

- **Words**: Words are the smallest meaningful units of a sentence. Each word belongs to a particular grammatical category, or part of speech. These categories include nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs, pronouns, prepositions, conjunctions, and interjections. Words serve as the foundation for constructing more complex syntactic units.

- **Phrases**: A phrase is a group of words that function together as a single unit within a sentence. Common types of phrases include:

  o **Noun Phrases (NP)**: Groups of words centered around a noun, such as *the tall man* or *a green apple*.

  o **Verb Phrases (VP)**: Groups of words that include a main verb along with its objects, complements, or modifiers, like *has been running* or *will eat dinner*.

  o **Adjective Phrases (AP)**: Phrases that include an adjective, often with modifiers, such as *incredibly bright*.

  o **Prepositional Phrases (PP)**: Phrases that begin with a preposition and include its object, like *under the table* or *after the meeting*.

- **Clauses**: A clause is a larger syntactic unit that consists of a subject and a predicate (a verb or verb phrase). There are two main types of clauses:

  o **Independent Clauses**: These can stand alone as complete sentences, for example, *She reads books*.

  o **Dependent Clauses**: These cannot stand alone and need an independent clause to complete their meaning, such as *Because she reads books*.

- **Sentences**: Sentences are formed by combining phrases and clauses, and they are the final units of syntax. A sentence typically consists of a subject, a verb, and sometimes an object or complement. The arrangement of words and phrases within the sentence determines its meaning and grammaticality.

Traditional Approaches to Syntax

The traditional approach to syntax, particularly through generative grammar, was largely shaped by Noam Chomsky's groundbreaking work in the 1950s. This framework emphasizes the idea that syntax is governed by formal, universal rules that can be applied to any language.

- **Generative Grammar**: Generative grammar posits that all languages are governed by a set of implicit rules that speakers use to generate sentences. These rules are part of a "universal grammar" shared by all humans. The goal of generative grammar is to describe the deep structure of language, which underlies all sentences, regardless of surface variations like word order or syntax.

- **Syntactic Trees**: Traditional syntactic theories frequently use tree diagrams to visually represent sentence structure. These trees illustrate how words and phrases combine in hierarchical relationships. The root node typically represents the sentence, while branches represent individual words or phrases. Each level of the tree reflects a different level of syntactic analysis.

- **Phrase Structure Grammar**: This theory breaks down sentences into constituent parts, or phrases, and uses formal rules to define how these parts can be combined. In phrase structure grammar, sentences are analyzed as hierarchical structures, with a clear distinction between different levels of constituents, such as noun phrases, verb phrases, and more.

- **Transformational Rules**: Transformational grammar refers to the set of rules that allow speakers to manipulate sentence structures. For example, a statement like *John is reading a book* can be transformed into a question (*Is John reading a book?*) through a series of transformations governed by rules of syntax.

Cognitive Approaches to Syntax

Cognitive approaches to syntax, in contrast to traditional rule-based systems, emphasize the relationship between syntax and human cognitive processes. These approaches argue that the structure of sentences reflects the ways humans think, perceive, and categorize the world.

- **Cognitive Grammar**: Cognitive grammar, developed by Ronald Langacker, views syntax as a product of our cognitive abilities. According to this theory, the structure of sentences reflects the conceptual structures and mental models that speakers use to make sense of the world. Syntax is seen not as a set of arbitrary rules but as a tool for expressing our thoughts and experiences.

- **Construction Grammar**: This theory proposes that knowledge of language consists of a collection of "constructions," which are form-meaning pairings. These constructions vary in complexity, from simple word forms to complex sentence patterns. According to construction grammar, speakers rely on a mental database of constructions to interpret and produce sentences.

- **Conceptualization and Syntax**: Cognitive linguistics emphasizes that sentence structure is closely tied to conceptualization—the mental process by which we interpret and categorize our experiences. Syntax, in this view, is shaped by how we mentally represent reality. For example, the syntax of sentences like *She gave him a book* reflects how we understand actions and relationships between objects and agents.

Comparing Traditional and Cognitive Approaches

While both traditional and cognitive approaches aim to explain how language works, they differ significantly in their focus and methodologies.

- **Formal vs. Meaning-based**: Traditional approaches, like generative grammar, prioritize formal rules and syntactic structures. The focus is on identifying universal principles of grammar. Cognitive approaches, by contrast, focus on the meaning behind language and how syntactic structures reflect human cognitive processes. Syntax, in this view, is not just about rules but about the expression of thought.

- **Universality vs. Variation**: Generative grammar suggests that all languages share a universal grammar, a set of principles underlying all human languages. Cognitive approaches, however, emphasize the diversity of languages and how different linguistic structures arise from the unique ways speakers categorize experiences.

- **Abstract Rules vs. Cognitive Representation**: Traditional syntax tends to treat linguistic structures as abstract entities that can be represented by formal rules. Cognitive syntax, on the other hand, views structures as grounded in human experience, shaped by the ways individuals mentally represent and interact with the world.

Conclusion

Syntax is essential to understanding how language functions, providing a framework for how words and phrases combine to form sentences. While traditional approaches emphasize formal rules and abstract structures, cognitive approaches highlight the connection between syntax and cognitive processes, focusing on how language reflects human thought and experience. Both perspectives offer valuable insights into the workings of language, and together they contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of the complexities of sentence formation. By integrating the best of both approaches, linguists can continue to explore how syntax functions not only as a structural system but also as a

reflection of human cognition and perception. In conclusion, the study of syntax remains a central component of linguistic theory, offering crucial insights into how languages organize and convey meaning. While traditional approaches, particularly generative grammar, focus on formal, rule-based systems that highlight universal structures across languages, cognitive approaches broaden the scope by integrating how language relates to human cognition and perception. The tension between these approaches underscores the complexity of syntax as not only a set of structural rules but as a dynamic process rooted in mental representations and conceptualization. Both traditions contribute significantly to our understanding of language, and the ongoing exploration of these different perspectives can lead to a more holistic view of how humans use syntax to communicate, think, and interact with the world. Ultimately, the synthesis of traditional and cognitive insights promises to advance our knowledge of syntax and its role in shaping human language and thought.

REFERENCES

1. Chomsky, N. (1957). *Syntactic Structures*. Mouton & Co.

2. Croft, W. (2001). *Radical Construction Grammar: Syntactic Theory in Typological Perspective*. Oxford University Press.

3. Goldberg, A. E. (2006). *Constructions at Work: The Nature of Generalization in Language*. Oxford University Press.

4. Langacker, R. W. (1987). *Foundations of Cognitive Grammar: Volume 1: Theoretical Prerequisites*. Stanford University Press.

5. Pinker, S. (1994). *The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language*. William Morrow & Company.

6. Radford, A. (2004). *English Syntax: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press.

7. Taylor, J. R. (2002). *Cognitive Grammar: An Introduction*. Oxford University Press.

8. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).

9. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).

10. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ

ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

11.	Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

12.	Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, *2*(10), 8-10.

13.	Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. *Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*, *2*(3), 1-5. Retrieved from https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84

14.	Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

15.	Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

16.	Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).

17.	Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).

18.	Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).

19.	Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.

20.	Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB

MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187

21. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.

22. Sharifova Dinora Tohir qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). " NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292–297. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13128

23. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187

24. Qodirova Aziza Yunusovna, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi. (2023). "VERBS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 280–283. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13126

25. Tuxtayeva Aziza Ilhom qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). Word Formation: Compounding . "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023", 1(12), 113–115. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/cusr/article/view/3185

26. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla, & Iskandarova Sarvinoz Shukurullo qizi. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126–131. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3191

27. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes . Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759

28. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. *Development of pedagogical technologies in modern sciences*, 2(12), 187-192.

29. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. *Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari*, 2(2), 68-70.

30. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY*, *1*(9), 94-97.

31. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).

32. Nafisa, T. (2023). VOWELS AND THEIR MODIFACATIONS. *Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*, *2*(16), 298-305.

33. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).

34. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE EDUCATION SYSTEM OF THE USA: PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, SCHOOL FORMS. *The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development*, *1*(6), 53-57.

35. Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. *Scientific Impulse*, *2*(15), 563-567.

36. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Word Formation: Compounding. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 113-115).

37. Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. *Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*, *2*(16), 292-297.