

2-TOM, 11-SON

PRAGMATICS. SPEECH ACT THEORY. DISCOURSE ANALYSIS

Jizzakh branch of the National University of
Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages
Phylology and foreign languages

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Student of group 301-21: Pardayeva Mashhura Farhod qizi

Annotation: This article provides an overview of three interconnected fields in linguistic study: Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis. Each of these disciplines goes beyond examining language as a system of rules and instead focuses on how language functions in real-world contexts. Pragmatics explores how context affects meaning, emphasizing the importance of factors like implicature, deixis, and politeness. Speech Act Theory, developed by philosophers J.L. Austin and John Searle, investigates how language is used to perform actions, such as making promises, giving commands, or expressing emotions. Discourse Analysis examines larger units of communication—both spoken and written—to understand how people construct meaning, manage conversational structure, and reflect social power dynamics. Together, these fields provide a comprehensive approach to understanding language as a social tool, highlighting the nuanced ways in which communication shapes and reflects human interaction.

Key words: Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, Discourse Analysis, context, meaning, implicature, deixis, politeness, communication, linguistics, locutionary act, illocutionary act, perlocutionary act, coherence, cohesion, conversation structure, narrative analysis, power dynamics, social interaction, language use.

Language is not merely a system of symbols and rules; it's a dynamic tool for social interaction. The fields of Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis explore language beyond syntax and semantics, focusing on its use in real-world communication. These areas investigate how people use language to convey meaning, achieve specific outcomes, and structure interaction. Here's an in-depth look at each of these fields and their interconnectedness.

Pragmatics

Pragmatics is the branch of linguistics that examines how context influences meaning. Unlike semantics, which deals with the literal meaning of words and sentences, pragmatics is concerned with contextual meaning—how the same sentence can convey different



2-TOM, 11-SON

meanings in different situations. Pragmatics asks questions like: What do speakers mean when they say something? How do listeners interpret these meanings based on the context?

Context: Pragmatics examines how physical, social, and linguistic context influences communication. For instance, saying "It's cold in here" in a chilly room might be a factual statement or a subtle request to close a window, depending on the context.

Implicature: Proposed by philosopher H.P. Grice, implicature refers to what is implied rather than explicitly stated. For example, if someone says, "It's late," they may be indirectly suggesting that it's time to leave.

Deixis: This refers to words or phrases, like "this," "that," "here," and "now," whose meanings depend on the speaker's perspective and the context of the utterance.

Politeness and Face Theory: Developed by sociologists Penelope Brown and Stephen Levinson, this theory explores how people use language to manage social relationships. "Face" refers to a person's social value or self-esteem, and politeness strategies are ways to respect the face needs of others.

Speech Act Theory

Speech Act Theory was developed by philosophers J.L. Austin and John Searle, who studied how language is used to perform actions. Rather than just describing the world, language can be used to perform acts like apologizing, promising, or commanding. Speech Act Theory identifies several types of "speech acts":

Locutionary Act: The basic act of making a statement. For instance, "I promise to meet you tomorrow" involves the locutionary act of saying these words.

Illocutionary Act: The action performed by the speaker with their utterance. In this example, saying "I promise" is an illocutionary act because it commits the speaker to an action. This is the focus of Speech Act Theory—analyzing what speakers intend to accomplish with their statements.

Perlocutionary Act: The effect the utterance has on the listener. If the listener feels reassured by the promise, this is a perlocutionary effect.

Speech Act Theory has identified various categories of illocutionary acts, including:

- Assertives: Statements that describe or assert something as true, such as "It's raining."
- Directives: Commands, requests, or suggestions, like "Please close the door."
- Commissives: Commitments to a future action, such as "I'll meet you at noon."
- Expressives: Expressions of emotional states, like "I apologize for being late."
- Declarations: Statements that bring about a change in the external world, such as a judge declaring "I sentence you to five years in prison."



2-TOM, 11-SON

Speech Act Theory is foundational in pragmatics, as it helps explain how speakers use language to achieve their intended goals and interact with others.

Discourse Analysis

Discourse Analysis is the study of how larger units of language, beyond individual sentences, function in communication. This field focuses on text and conversation structure, examining language patterns to understand how meaning is constructed within social contexts. Discourse Analysis combines elements of linguistics, sociology, and anthropology to analyze both spoken and written discourse.

Coherence and Cohesion: Discourse analysts explore how ideas are logically and linguistically connected. Cohesion refers to the use of linguistic devices, like pronouns or conjunctions, to link ideas, while coherence is the logical flow and sense of the discourse.

Turn-Taking and Conversation Structure: In spoken discourse, analysts look at how speakers take turns, how interruptions occur, and how conversational roles are negotiated. Understanding these dynamics helps reveal the implicit social rules governing conversation.

Narrative Analysis: This approach examines how people tell stories to make sense of their experiences. Narrative structure, the use of particular themes, and how the story reflects the speaker's identity are all areas of interest.

Power and Ideology: Discourse Analysis often considers how language reflects and reinforces social power structures. For example, critical discourse analysis (CDA) investigates how language in political speeches, media, or legal discourse can perpetuate social inequalities.

The Interconnection of Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis

Although pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis focus on different aspects of language, they share a central concern with language in use. Pragmatics provides the foundation for understanding how context shapes meaning, Speech Act Theory offers tools to analyze the intentions behind utterances, and Discourse Analysis examines how language functions across larger texts and conversations.

In everyday communication, these fields work together. A speaker's choice of words (pragmatics), the intended action behind the words (speech act), and the way the conversation unfolds (discourse) all influence how messages are conveyed and understood. Together, they offer a comprehensive understanding of language as a social phenomenon—one that shapes, and is shaped by, the ways people relate to each other in society.

Conclusion

Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis provide essential insights into how language functions as a tool for social interaction. Pragmatics examines how context



2-TOM, 11-SON

shapes meaning, Speech Act Theory delves into the actions we perform with words, and Discourse Analysis explores language in its broader communicative structures. Together, these fields demonstrate that language is far more than a set of words and grammar rules—it's a dynamic means of creating meaning, achieving social objectives, and maintaining relationships. By studying how language operates in real-life contexts, these disciplines deepen our understanding of communication and offer valuable perspectives on the ways people use language to connect, influence, and understand one another. #In understanding language beyond its literal meanings, Pragmatics, Speech Act Theory, and Discourse Analysis highlight the complexity and adaptability of human communication. These fields reveal how language is tailored to fit specific social contexts, driven by intentions, and structured in ways that reflect culture, identity, and power. Studying these areas allows us to see language as a flexible, powerful tool for interaction and reveals the subtle mechanisms people use to navigate relationships and negotiate meaning in everyday life. Ultimately, they provide a fuller, richer picture of how language both shapes and is shaped by human experience.

REFERENCES

1. Austin, J. L. (1962). *How to Do Things with Words*. Harvard University Press.
2. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). *Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Grice, H. P. (1975). *Logic and conversation*. In P. Cole & J. Morgan (Eds.), *Speech Acts* (pp. 41-58). Academic Press.
4. Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Schiffrin, D. (1994). *Approaches to Discourse*. Blackwell.
6. van Dijk, T. A. (1997). *Discourse as Structure and Process*. Sage Publications.
7. Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford University Press..
8. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).
9. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).
10. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ



2-TOM, 11-SON

ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

11. Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

12. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, 2(10), 8-10.

13. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. *Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*, 2(3), 1-5. Retrieved from <https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84>

14. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 5(5).

15. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 5(5).

16. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).

17. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).

18. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In *Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education* (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).

19. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 465-469.

20. Ibrohimovna, X. M. (2023). The Importance of Methods in Language Teaching Process. *Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal*, 2(1), 20-23.



2-TOM, 11-SON

21. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes . Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759>
22. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itftdm/article/view/3187>
23. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноеазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
24. Sharifova Dinora Tohir qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). “ NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES”. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292–297. извлечено от <http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13128>
25. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itftdm/article/view/3187>
26. Qodirova Aziza Yunusovna, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi. (2023). “VERBS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES”. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 280–283. извлечено от <http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13126>
27. Tuxtayeva Aziza Ilhom qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). Word Formation: Compounding . "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023", 1(12), 113–115. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/cusr/article/view/3185>
28. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla, & Iskandarova Sarvinoz Shukurullo qizi. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126–131. Retrieved from <https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itftdm/article/view/3191>
29. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes . Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759>

