XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR



1-TOM, 12-SON Contrastive Linguistics and Cognitive Linguistics Mamatova Dilfuza Anorboy qizi

4th year student at Djizzakh branch of The National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek

Supervisor: **Abduraxmanova Zilola Yoqubjon qizi**Assistant teacher in the department Foreign Languages at Djizzakh branch of The

National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek **Abstract**:

This article explores the similarities and differences between two prominent subfields of linguistics: Contrastive Linguistics (CL) and Cognitive Linguistics (CogL). While both disciplines focus on understanding language and its structure, they adopt different theoretical frameworks and methodologies. This article delves into the key principles, research areas, and methodologies employed within CL and CogL, providing insights into how these contrasting approaches contribute to our understanding of language and cognition.

Key words: contrastive linguistics, cognitive linguistics, language comparison, language acquisition, linguistic variations, linguistic analysis, linguistic structures, cognitive processes, linguistic relativity.

Languages are vehicles through which individuals express their thoughts and emotions, and they play a significant role in shaping human cognitive processes. Linguists have long aimed to unveil the intricate relationship between language and cognition, leading to the development of several linguistic subfields that tackle this dynamic relationship. This article highlights two such subfields—Contrastive Linguistics and Cognitive Linguistics—and examines their distinct approaches and contributions to linguistic research.

Contrastive Linguistics (CL):Contrastive Linguistics, founded by Robert Lado in the 1950s, focuses on the systematic comparison of two or more languages to identify similarities and differences in their structures. Its primary objective is to facilitate second language acquisition and improve language teaching methods. CL researchers analyze various linguistic levels, including phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax, and semantics, comparing different languages to identify patterns and contrastive features.

Cognitive Linguistics (CogL):Cognitive Linguistics, influenced by the cognitive revolution of the 1970s, investigates the mental processes behind language



XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR



1-TOM, 12-SON

production and comprehension. CogL emphasizes the role of cognition in shaping language and focuses on concepts such as metaphor, image schemas, prototype theory, and cognitive models of grammar. It views language as an embodied phenomenon, connected closely with human experience and the way we perceive and interact with the world.

Commonalities and Differences: While both CL and CogL aim to uncover language as a cognitive and communicative system, their approaches and methodologies differ significantly. CL researchers typically rely on contrastive analysis, focusing on structural differences across languages and providing insights into language transfer in second language acquisition. In contrast, CogL adopts a usage-based approach that emphasizes the role of context, schemas, prototypes, and cognitive processes in language production and comprehension.

Research Areas:CL research is primarily concerned with cross-linguistic analysis, focusing on language teaching and translation studies. It has played a vital role in identifying language-specific difficulties faced by second language learners. CogL, on the other hand, encompasses a broader range of research areas, including metaphor studies, embodiment, cognitive semantics, language acquisition, psycholinguistics, and computational linguistics.

Methodologies: CL researchers employ comparative analysis, relying on structured corpora and parallel texts to identify similarities and differences across languages. They adopt a top-down approach, comparing broad linguistic units, and employing quantitative methods to measure language variation. In contrast, CogL researchers use a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, often employing experimental techniques such as eye-tracking, electroencephalography (EEG), and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to investigate language processing mechanisms.

Conclusion:Contrastive Linguistics and Cognitive Linguistics offer distinct approaches to understanding language and cognition, highlighting the diversity within the field of linguistics. While CL emphasizes cross-linguistic analysis and language transfer, CogL explores the cognitive processes underlying language production and comprehension.

XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR



1-TOM, 12-SON References

1.From the President I.A. Karimovs Report at the Oliy Majlis session of the first Convocation, February, 1995.

E PLUR

- 2.Karimov I.A. Harmoniously developed generation is the basis of progress of Uzbekistan- T., 1997. P. 12
- 3. What is Digital Technology? What are commonly used Digital Technologies? virlan.co
- 4.Mobile learning and integration of mobile technologies in education J.Keengwe, M. Bhargava 5.Intersection of serious games and learning motivation for medical education: A literature review. S.Dreimane, R. Upenieks
 - 6.Digital technology definition. Dictionary.com
 - 7.https://elearningindustry The use of technology in online education.
- 8.A study on science teachers' attitudes toward information and communications technologies in education. P.Cavas, T.Kisla
 - 9.Edwards, S.(2014). Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 25(4)
- 10. Parmonova, N. and Abduraxmanova, Z. (2021). THEORETICAL APPROACH TO SPEECH DISFLUENCIES IN SIMULTANEOUS INTERPRETATION. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 43-45).
- 11. Абдурахманова, 3. (2022). Analysis of pauses and interuptions as elements of linguistic production in simultaneous interpretation. Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы, 1(1), 533-535.
- 12. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The importance of a word and word formation in a language system. JournalNX-A Multidisiplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, 7(12), 337-341