

**““Lexical Stylistic Devices and Literary Terms of Figurative Language””**

**Abdurakhmanova Zilola Yokubjon kizi**

**Teacher of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan**

**named after Mirzo Ulugbek**

**Rashidova Nihola Furkat kizi**

**Student of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan**

**named after Mirzo Ulugbek**

**Abstract:** The degree of study and significance of lexical Literary devices are carried out in the given article. The essential Aspects of lexical devices and information about numerous Methods of investigating and studying them are discussed. Terms belonged to the lexical level of the language and the Analyses of lexical devices given by several dictionaries of Literary terms and sources are explained in the article. In this Article we would like to refer to different approaches on study of Literary terms of figurative language, more preciously on lexical Stylistic devices. There are many types of figurative language, Including literary devices such As simile, metaphor, personification and many others. The Definition of figurative language is opposite to that of literal Language, which involves only the “proper” or dictionary Definitions of words. Figurative language usually requires the Reader or listener to understand some extra nuances, context and Allusions in order to understand the second meaning.

**Keywords :** antonomasia, metaphor, metonymy, irony, Hyperbole, epithet, oxymoron, zeugma, pun, allusion, Personification, allegory, simile, clarifying synonyms, climax, Anti-climax, disguised tautology, antithesis, synecdoche, Paradox.

### **I. INTRODUCTION**

The analysis of scientific literature indicates that the Terms in different spheres were not studied identically. The Study of terminology in former USSR was led off in 1931 Publishing D. Lotte’s work on the technical terminology [see Lotte 1931], followed by E. Drezen in 1934, by G.Vinokur in 1939 and in 1986 by A. A. Reformatsky . On the basis of These studies, the main directions of working on terminology Were defined: A.A.Reformatsky (1986), B.N Goloven (1981), N. P. Kuzkin (1962), L.Kapanadze (1965) and others Studied the terminology, O.S.Akhmanova(1990), V.P.Karpovich (1978), R.Yu. Kobrin(1991), V.M.Leychik (1986), V.D.Tabanakova (1998), E.N.Tolikina and others Worked on semantic features of terminilogy, A.S.Gelt (1991) Focused on the relationship between

terminology and Nomenclature units, M.V. Oganisyan (2003), O.V.Dovbysh (2003), S.V.Sakhneevich (1998) on translation problems of Terminology and Z.M. Polyutina (2002) studied civilization Approaches on terminology

## **II. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The scientific and practical studies about linguistic terms Are based on ideas of Akhmanova (1966, 1990), Vasileva 1998), Gwishiani (1986, 1990), Golovin (1976), Kulikova (2002), Petrosyants (2004), Podolskaya (1988), Slyusarova (1983, 2000), Shelov (1998) and others. In recent years, Studies on linguistic terms have been published and we can See these studies on Roman language terminology in works of Nikulina (1990), Utkina (2001), Emelyanova (2000), Vermeer (1971), Zakharenkova (1999), German (1990), Golovkina (1996) [6, 11-41]. If we pay close attention to the aforesaid studies, we can See that literary terms which is the object of our research Project has been studied relatively rarely in Slovenian, Roman and German languages. Therefore, the study of Philosophical, cultural, ethical, aesthetic, religious, Linguistic, and especially literary terms are one of the Pressing issues. According to E.G.Petrosyants, there are currently more Than 70 dictionaries, encyclopaedias and reference books on Linguistics. However, dictionaries, encyclopedias and Reference books on literary terms are relatively rare. This Situation indicates that the terms of literature have not been Adequately studied and information on them is not Systemized

## **III. DISCUSSION**

In this article we would like to refer to different approaches On study of literary terms of figurative language, more Precisely on lexical stylistic devices. There are many types Of figurative language, including literary devices such As simile, metaphor, personification, and many others. The Definition of figurative language is opposite to that of literal Language, which involves only the “proper” or dictionary Definitions of words. Figurative language usually requires the Reader or listener to understand some extra nuances, context And allusions in order to understand the second meaning. Actually the study of figurative language is based on a Long history. Ancient philosophers such as Aristotle (Greek) And Quintilian (Roman) were some of the first to theorize About the use and function of figurative language. Aristotle Argued that figurative language was not merely an Embellishment, but instead mirror the way humans actually Process information by

Comparing it to things we Already know. We can find Examples of figurative in the majority of literary works. This is both because there are so Many literary devices that qualify as figurative language and Also because the human mind responds well to different types Of figurative language. Indeed, many studies have shown that Figurative language comes naturally to children and that it Helps them understand new concepts. Therefore when Authors use examples of figurative language, they are trying To provide fresh or unique ways of explaining things.

However, they are also triggering a very important part of the Human mind and creating new synapses. If we talk about the classification of figurative language, We can see diversity in categorizing them. In classical Rhetoric, figurative language is categorized into schemes and Tropes. Schemes refer to figures of speech which change the Usual word order or word pattern, while tropes change the Literal meaning of the word. The most commonly used tropes Include metaphor, metonymy, simile, personification, irony, Hyperbole, allegory, allusion and alliteration. In this article We would like mostly focus on lexical literary devices. Lexical stylistic device is such type of denoting Phenomena that serves to create additional expressive, Evaluative, subjective connotations. In fact we deal with the Intended substitution of the existing names approved by long Usage and fixed in dictionaries, prompted by the speaker's Subjective original view and evaluation of things. Each type Of intended substitution results in a stylistic device called also A trope as well. This act of substitution is referred to Transference – the name of one object is transferred onto Another, proceeding from their similarity (of shape, color, Function, etc.) or closeness (of material existence, Cause/effect, instrument/result, part/whole relations, etc.). While investigating lexical literary devices we have faced Different categorizations and classifications depending on Some principles, criteria, usage and changeability of sentence Structures. Classification of lexical stylistic devices varies From linguist to linguist. I.R. Galperin gave simply Organized, very detailed classification of literary devices in His manual "Stylistics" published in 1971 and his subdivision Of stylistic devices based on the level-oriented approach. Thus, according to his approach stylistic devices based on the Binary opposition of lexical meanings regardless of the Syntactical organization of the utterance are lexical stylistic Devices. And he subdivided them into several parts. Thus, Lexical stylistic devices are separated into devices based on The interaction between the logical and nominal meanings of A word (antonomasia); devices based on the interaction

Between two logical meanings of a word (metaphor, Metonymy, irony); devices based on the interaction between The logical and emotive meanings of a word (hyperbole, Epithet, oxymoron); devices based on the interaction between The free and phraseological meanings of a word (zeugma, Pun) [4, 26-30]. One of the latest classification of figurative language is Given by Y.M.Skrebnev in his book "Fundamentals of English stylistics" published in 1994. His approach Demonstrates a combination of principles of British scholar Leech's (Essays on Style and Language, 1967) system of Paradigmatic and syntagmatic subdivision and the that merely affect their order or their impact upon an Audience known as figures of speech, schemes or rhetorical Figures. In this dictionary the most important tropes are Metaphor, simile, metonymy, synecdoche, personification, Irony and others include hyperbole (overstatement), litotes (understatement) and periphrasis (circumlocution). The Rhetorical figures can emphasize or enliven a point in several Different ways: by placing words in contrast with one another (antithesis); by repeating words in various patterns (anadiplosis, anaphora, antistrophe, and chiasmus); by Changing the order of words (hyperbaton); by missing out Conjunctions(asyndeton); by changing course or breaking off In mid-sentence (anacoluthon, aposiopesis); by assuming Special modes of address (apostrophe) or inquiry (rhetorical Question) and a further category of figures, sometimes known As figures of sound achieves emphasis by the repetition of Sounds, as in alliteration, assonance and consonance [2, 97].

#### **IV. RESULT AND CONCLUSION**

As we have seen above there is no stability in classifying And categorizing stylistic devices. That is why we can see that Some lexical stylistic devices are included in different groups And even into different types of stylistic devices. Stylistic Devices as antithesis, climax, anti-climax, litotes are not Considered as lexical according to Galperin, but Skrebnev And some other resources as Merriam Webster's Encyclopedia of Literature, Oxford Dictionary of Literary Terms by Chris Baldick consider them as lexical stylistic Devices. Furthermore, we could witness that each source Names them differently. Galperin named them as lexical (antonomasia, metaphor, metonymy, irony, hyperbole, Epithet, oxymoron, zeugma, pun), while Skrebnev as Semantic. Thus, paradigmatic contains hyperbole, meosis, Metonymy, metaphor, allusion, personification, Antonomasia, allegory, irony and syntagmatic simile, Clarifying synonyms, climax, anti-climax, zeugma, pun, Disguised tautology, oxymoron,

antithesis devices. German Linguist Jochen Lüders included them into two groups of his Classification: imagery (simile, metaphor, synecdoche, Personification) and miscellaneous (hyperbole, Understatement, irony, satire, paradox, oxymoron, pun) Stylistic devices. Merriam Webster's Encyclopedia of Literature put them into the category of figures of Resemblance or relationship ( simile, metaphor, kenning, Conceit, parallelism, personification, metonymy, synecdoche And euphemism), but hyperbola, oxymoron and irony were Involved into the category of figures of emphasis and Understatement together with syntactical stylistic devices as Litotes, rhetorical question, antithesis, climax, paradox. Oxford Dictionary of Literary terms by Chris Baldick names Them as tropes and includes metaphor, simile, metonymy, Synecdoche, personification, irony, hyperbole (overstatement), litotes (understatement) and periphrasis (circumlocution), which is very close to Galperin's Classification.

#### **REFERENCES**

1. Abduraxmanova, Z., & Mamurova, M. (2021). THEORETICAL APPROACH TO SPEECH DISFLUENCIES IN SIMULTANEOUS INTERPRETATION. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 43-45).
2. Абдурахманова, З. (2022). Analysis of pauses and interruptions as elements of linguistic production in simultaneous interpretation. Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы, 1(1), 533-535.
3. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The importance of a word and word formation in a language system. JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, 7(12), 337-341.
4. Rakhmatullayevna, A. D. (2021). The role and translation of metaphors in poetry. INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF DISCOURSE ON INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND EDUCATION, 2(2), 332-335.
5. Ахмедова С. Р. Таълим ва тарбия жараёнлари узвийлигининг самарадорлиги //Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 9. – С. 369-373.
6. Ахмедова С. Р. и др. Инновацион технологияларни таълим жараёнларига татбиқ этиш йўллари //Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 492-496.
7. Ахмедова S. R. и др. Masofaviy ta'lim va uning horijiy tillarni o'qitishdagi o'rni //Science and Education. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 608-612.

8. Axmedova, S. R. (2021). Chet tillarni o'rganish va undagi metodlarning ahamiyati. *Science and Education*, 2(11), 1076-1080.
9. Axmedova, S. R. (2021). Ilova elementlarining strukturaviy tahlilini o'rganish. *Science and Education*, 2(12), 583-587.
10. Axmedova, S. R. (2022). Иловали унсурларининг иккинчи даражали бўлақлар формасида ифодаланиб келиши. *Science and Education*, 3(3), 814-817.
11. Akhmedova, S. (2022). STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLIED ELEMENTS IN THE GERMAN LANGUAGE. *Science and innovation*, 1(B5), 94-97.
12. Axmedova, S. R. (2022). Эга шаклида ифодаланган мураккаб тузилишли иловали элементларнинг таҳлилини ўрганиш. *Science and Education*, 3(4), 1963-1966.
13. Akhmedova Sevara Rakhmankulovna. (2022). NEMIS TILIDA ILOVALI ELEMENTLARINING SEMANTIK O'ZIGA XOSLIKLARI. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 1(2), 481-485.
14. Rakhmankulovna A. S. PEDAGOGICAL STRATEGY OF DEVELOPMENT OF COMPETITION IN YOUNG PEOPLE OF PROFESSIONAL EDUCATION //International Scientific and Current Research Conferences. – 2023. – С. 18-20.
15. Rakhmankulovna A. S. THE ROLE OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING IN PROFESSIONAL TRAINING AND THE IMPORTANCE OF FOREIGN LANGUAGES IN THE FORMATION OF PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCIES OF STUDENTS //International Journal of Advance Scientific Research. – 2023. – Т. 3. – №. 09. – С. 58-62.
16. Sevinch A., Sevara A. IJTIMOIIY MULOQOT JARAYONIDA TIL UNSURLARIDAN FOYDALANISH //International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research. – 2023. – С. 448-452.
17. Raxmonkulovna A. S. DURCH PRÄPOSITIONEN DARGESTELLTE ANHANGELEMENTE //International Journal of Scientific Trends. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 137-140.