
**THE NON-LINGUISTIC CONTEXT – A BRIDGE TO LINGUISTIC
ITEMS AND PHENOMENA**

Abdurakhmanova Zilola Yokubjon kizi

**Teacher of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan
named after Mirzo Ulugbek**

Rashidova Nihola Furkat kizi

**Student of Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan
named after Mirzo Ulugbek**

Abstract: Each language is a complex system, which represents the world in a unique way, with its own stock of sounds, words, and phrases, as well as with its own grammatical constructions. The aim of the article is to prove that the non-linguistic context is a good way to improve the acquisition of linguistic items and phenomena and that our non-linguistic experience can affect the way we perceive language. The study proves that language, perception, and thought are interdependent and the direction of interaction can go both from linguistic to non-linguistic patterns and vice versa. The question of language and thought needs to extend not only to whether language affects thought, but whether non-linguistic patterns can affect the way language is perceived.

Key words: linguistic item, perception, thought, interaction.

1. Introduction

Every human being has the biological capacity to learn a language. When they stop to think of the mystery of how the first language is acquired, they will be fascinated by the incredibility of this phenomenon. It is believed that this is natural and it is going to happen, unless biologically disturbed. Each language is a complex system, which represents the world in a unique way, with its own stock of sounds, words and phrases, and its own grammatical constructions. The starting point of the study is the idea that each lexical or grammatical item can be explained through an item from the real world which builds the bridge between linguistic and non-linguistic items and phenomena. Cognitive linguistics often explains the linguistic facts beyond the

linguistic reality and, what is more, they gain non-linguistic nature – social, cultural, psychological, etc. Since the study is part of a larger project “Linguistic and cognitive aspects of young learners’ foreign language acquisition”, it uses data and examples from the research while attempting to prove that non-linguistic context is a good way to improve the acquisition of linguistic items and phenomena and that our non-linguistic experience can affect the way we perceive language. The types of linguistic phenomena range from general concepts to types of relations between words or between a word and a phrase to specific constructions. In her previous research in the field, the author of the article proves that the cognitive approach facilitates the comparison between familiar and new knowledge, the acquisition of grammatical rules, memorisation and use of language. “The cognitive stress is on using a model with high cognitive value, which develops and improves logical thinking and encourages imagination in its implementation. Thus, it aims to convert students from passive recipients to active constructors of knowledge.”

2. Relationship between language skills and cognitive development.

Language activity in cognitive linguistics is regarded as one of the models of cognition and it is based on cognitive skills that are non-linguistic but create prerequisites for language. A fundamental principle in this approach is the idea that it is not actually normal to focus on language separately from the cognitive activity of memory, attention, social contacts of people and other aspects of life experience (cf. Langacker 1987; Wierzbicka 1992; Gallese, Lakoff 2005). The very nature of language fits the extralinguistic reality – mental and social. Language is a hierarchical system of symbols (signs), which human beings have created in order to name things and objects. And if the language system is a stratified triangle in which individual language elements can be represented, then each stratum represents a language level (lexicon, syntax, morphology, phonology, phonetics). On a higher level, Tsvetkova (2012) studied the English present progressive construction as part of the cognitive taxonomy of the constructions preceding it to prove that tense as part of grammar is also based on the preceding structures. Language symbols, on the other hand, can name not only real objects but things that can be accepted through the senses as well – ideas, feelings, and intentions. In the present study, language is considered not only as the production of sounds and words.

It is a complex system that distinguishes humans from other creatures because language is a powerful tool of thinking. Language is investigated as a social tool that is used for sharing experience. Cognition, however, is a term that is used to include every system of belief, knowledge, understanding, interpretation, perception, etc.

2.1. Language and perception

Language and perception are deeply interrelated. On the one hand, correlations between perceptual dimensions build up non-linguistic categories and on the other hand, linguistic categories may agree with these non-linguistic categories if words correlate with the perceptual dimensions. Then we can describe what we perceive when using words. Thus linguistic behaviour and language acquisition are influenced by non-linguistic perception. The acquisition of words or structures is predetermined by specific perceptual mechanisms. Everybody's experience is different from that of the others. Everybody builds their own vocabulary and that is the reason why there are various nuances in the meanings of the words. A child, for example, builds its vocabulary by learning new associations of words with ideas and objects. As Peneva (2013: 37) points out "the semantic meaning is usually about understanding human expressions through language and signs". Taylor (1995: viii), on the other hand, assumes that linguistic objects are like non-linguistic objects: Just as a botanist is concerned with a botanical categorisation of plants, so a linguist undertakes a linguistic categorisation of linguistic objects. ... If, as will be argued, categories of linguistic objects are structured along the same lines as the more familiar semantic categories, then any insights we may gain into the categorisation of the non-linguistic world may be profitably applied to the study of language structure itself. Some cognitive linguists, Langacker (1987) among them, prove that that non-linguistic psychological processes and dimensions such as visual scanning, imagery, colour, and depth influence the functional role of grammatical patterns. Based on this review, the same author asserts that in order to learn grammatical structures and words, the language learner needs a direct path from perceptual mechanisms to language learning mechanisms. Language can also shape our understanding of the world through expressing the sounds and noise of fauna and nature – miaow, moo, quack, ruff, etc. All of them have their imitative nature. They are part of the non-linguistic semiotics that the subject of language uses, too. The article extends the idea

that there is difficulty in relating language to the context and the world in which it occurs, too, and it may arise from the fact that the way in which we see the world is to a certain extent dependent on the language we use. Since we categorise the objects of our experience with the aid of language, it may be the case that there are two aspects of learning – about the world and about language. They are activities that cannot be separated and therefore our world is partly determined by our language. Indeed, the Polish anthropologist Bronislaw Malinowski (1948) argued that primitive people use words only for the objects that stand out for them from the world. They pick out by words those parts that are relevant to them. The finding appears at first glance to contradict the view which is expressed in the Sapir-Whorf hypothesis (Carroll 1956; Kay, Kempton 1984). Edward Sapir (1949) suggested that the world in which we live “is to a large extent unconsciously built upon the language habits of the group”. Later on, his view was expanded and explained by Whorf (1956). Whorf is famous for his interest in some of the most significant problems in the relation between linguistic and non-linguistic phenomena. We are unaware of the background character of our language, just as we are unaware of the presence of air until we begin to choke, and that if we look at other languages we come to realise that a language does not merely voice ideas, but that it is ‘the shape of ideas’ and that we dissect nature along lines laid down by our native language” (Whorf 1956: 214). This leads to a “new principle of relativity which holds that all observers are not led by the same physical evidence to the same picture of the universe, unless their linguistic backgrounds are similar or in some way can be calibrated” (Whorf 1956: 214). Another question arises from the case in which speakers of different languages have a different picture of the universe. We can have a similar picture to the picture that others have only if we understand their language. In another case, it may happen that we can meet difficulties in translation.

2.2. Language and thought In the relationship between language and thought, the peculiarities of a given language determine the way a picture is described. Thus the same scene can be presented in different ways according to the language in which it occurs (Birner 1999). For example, Hopi is a language which provides an explanation to this (Malotki 1983). Directions like left, right, front and back do not exist in it. Instead, the speakers use the words

describing the four cardinal directions (east, west, north, and south). So, they would never say: Turn right/left but Turn north/south/etc. A man is standing in front of a house but A man is standing north/etc. Of the house. So different languages certainly make us speak about space in very different ways. Another reason lies in the verb form in the utterance. In English it refers to a past present or future event.

3. Results and findings

The article proves that language, perception, and thought are interdependent and the direction of interaction can go both from linguistic to non-linguistic patterns and vice versa. According to Tacca (2011) "Perception and cognition are tightly related. Perceptual information guides our decisions and actions and shapes our beliefs. At the same time, our knowledge influences the way we perceive the world". The question of language and thought needs to extend not only to whether language affects thought, but whether non-linguistic patterns can affect the way language is perceived. The article argues that our non-linguistic experience can affect the way we perceive language. The question that remains for future research is to understand when non-linguistic patterns may affect linguistic constructs in real-world situations.

4. Conclusion

There are a lot of ways in which linguistic and non-linguistic perception interact. They belong to a model of acquisition and have an important impact on language acquisition. The article stressed the role the non-linguistic context of an utterance plays in language acquisition. This study was directed in accordance with the principles and procedures for cognitive-based learning as it relates to English as a foreign language. It contains all the elements of the traditional communication-oriented teaching as well as the cognitive-based strategy with the focus on learning and practice on the basis of comprehending linguistic knowledge and rules, inference of knowledge, structuring and arranging new knowledge units, storing and applying them. What is important to note is that in the integrated communicative and cognitive approach teaching is conducted through experimental learning. It is based on learning through the learner's experience with constructing and appropriating knowledge by means of English.

REFERENCES

1. Abduraxmonova Z (2023).
A Glossary of Literary terms. – Heinle & Heinle, a division
Of Thomson Learning, Inc., 2023.
2. Baldick Chris. The Concise Oxford Dictionary of Literary terms. Oxford
University Press.
3. Cuddon J.A. Dictionary of Literary terms and literary theory. – Fourth
Edition published in Penguin Books, 1999.
4. Galperin I. R. Stylistics. – Moscow: Higher school publishing house.
5. McArthur T. The Concise Oxford Companion to the English Language
Oxford: Oxford University Press.
6. Petrosyants E.G. Linguistical terminopoly: structure, semantics,
Derivation: on English material. – Pyatigors.
6. Skrebnev Y.M. Fundamentals of English Stylistics. – Moscow.
7. MERRIAM WEBSTER ENCYCLOPEDIA OF LITERATURE. – MERRIAM.