

*Syntax and its Main Units: Traditional and Cognitive Approaches*  
**Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan  
named after Mirzo Ulugbek**  
**The Faculty of Psychology, the department of Foreign languages  
Philology and foreign languages**  
**Scientific advisor: Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi**  
[nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com](mailto:nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com)  
**Student of group 204-20: Urinboev Shakhzod Oybek ogli**  
[urinboevshakhzod@gmail.com](mailto:urinboevshakhzod@gmail.com)

**Annotation:** This article explores the fundamental concepts of syntax, examining both traditional and cognitive approaches. Syntax, the study of sentence structure, plays a crucial role in linguistics. Traditional approaches focus on formal rules and structures, while cognitive approaches delve into the mental processes underlying language production. The article discusses key units in syntax and illustrates how each approach contributes to our understanding of language structure.

**Key words:** Syntax, Traditional Approaches, Cognitive Approaches, Sentence Structure, Grammar, Linguistics, Syntax Units, Syntax Theories.

Syntax, the intricate study of sentence structure, stands as a cornerstone in the realm of linguistics. It delves into the arrangement of words and the formation of coherent and meaningful sentences. In the vast landscape of linguistic inquiry, two distinct approaches, traditional and cognitive, have emerged, each offering unique perspectives on the nature of syntax. Traditionally, syntax has been approached as a set of formal rules dictating the acceptable structure of sentences. This perspective relies on the classification of units such as phrases and clauses, adhering to predefined grammatical structures. In contrast, cognitive approaches to syntax delve into the cognitive processes underlying language production, emphasizing the mental representations that shape how individuals construct and comprehend sentences.

### **1. Traditional Approaches to Syntax:**

Definition:

Traditional approaches to syntax are rooted in the notion of prescriptive rules governing the structure of sentences. This framework emphasizes the importance of adhering to established grammatical structures and syntactic patterns.

*Syntax Units:*

Phrases:

In traditional syntax, phrases serve as fundamental building blocks of sentences.

Notable types include:

- Noun Phrases (NP): Comprising a noun and its modifiers, e.g., "The red car."
- Verb Phrases (VP): Centered around a verb and its complements, e.g., "Runs swiftly."

Phrases are fundamental units in traditional syntax, representing coherent groups of words within a sentence. These are further categorized into different types, each playing a specific role in constructing meaningful expressions.

Noun Phrases (NP):

Noun phrases consist of a noun and its modifiers, functioning as subjects, objects, or complements. They add detail and specificity to sentences. Example: "The mysterious old book on the shelf belongs to Mary."

Verb Phrases (VP):

Verb phrases involve a verb and its complements, representing actions or states. They serve as the predicates in sentences. Example: "Completed the challenging task with utmost precision."

Adjective Phrases (AdjP):

Adjective phrases consist of an adjective and its modifiers, providing additional information about a noun. Example: "The incredibly talented musician performed."

Adverb Phrases (AdvP):

Adverb phrases include an adverb and its modifiers, offering details about the manner, time, place, or frequency of an action. Example: "She danced gracefully on the stage."

Prepositional Phrases (PP):

Prepositional phrases comprise a preposition, its object, and any modifiers, indicating relationships between elements in a sentence. Example: "The cat sat on the windowsill."

Example:

In the sentence, "The mysterious old book on the shelf belongs to Mary," various types of phrases contribute to the overall structure. The noun phrase "The mysterious

old book" serves as the subject, the prepositional phrase "on the shelf" adds detail, and the prepositional phrase "to Mary" functions as a complement.

Clauses:

Traditional syntax categorizes sentences into independent and dependent clauses, impacting overall sentence structure.

- Independent Clauses: Standalone sentences, e.g., "The sun sets."
- Dependent Clauses: Reliant on independent clauses, e.g., "Because the sun sets, we need lights."

Sentence Structure:

Traditional approaches often advocate for specific sentence structures, such as Subject-Verb-Object (SVO):

- "The cat (subject) chased (verb) the mouse (object)."

Example:

A classic example of traditional syntax is the adherence to Subject-Verb-Object (SVO) structures in English, where the subject precedes the verb, and the object follows.

Clauses are integral components of traditional syntax, representing distinct segments of sentences with specific grammatical functions. They play a crucial role in determining the overall structure and meaning of sentences.

Independent Clauses:

Independent clauses, also known as main clauses, are self-contained units that can stand alone as complete sentences. They express a complete thought and typically consist of a subject and a predicate. Example: "The sun sets."

Dependent Clauses:

Dependent clauses, also called subordinate clauses, cannot stand alone as complete sentences and rely on independent clauses for meaning. They function as adjectival, adverbial, or nominal elements. Example: "Because the sun sets, we need lights." Here, "Because the sun sets" is a dependent clause.

Adjectival Clauses:

Adjectival clauses modify nouns and function as adjectives within a sentence. They usually begin with a relative pronoun (who, which, that) or a relative adverb (where, when, why). Example: "The house that Jack built is now a museum."

Adverbial Clauses:

Adverbial clauses modify verbs, adjectives, or other adverbs, providing information about time, place, manner, condition, purpose, or reason. Example: "She sang beautifully although she was nervous."

Noun Clauses:

Noun clauses function as nouns within a sentence and often serve as subjects, objects, or complements. Example: "What you said surprised me."

Example:

In the sentence, "The house that Jack built is now a museum," the adjectival clause "that Jack built" modifies the noun "house," providing additional information about its origin.

## **2. Cognitive Approaches to Syntax:**

Definition:

Cognitive approaches to syntax shift the focus from rigid rules to the mental processes that underpin language production. This perspective contends that linguistic structures are shaped by cognitive constraints and the dynamic interplay of mental representations.

Syntax Units:

Conceptual Structures:

Cognitive syntax suggests that sentence structures are influenced by mental representations of concepts. For instance, the emphasis on the agent in an action, as in "The cat chased the mouse."

Cognitive Constraints:

Individual cognitive limitations can impact sentence construction. Speakers may choose structures that align with cognitive ease. For example, passive voice may be avoided for simplicity: "The cat chased the mouse" instead of "The mouse was chased by the cat."

Example:

Consider the sentence "The mouse was chased by the cat." A cognitive approach would argue that this construction might be chosen to emphasize the mouse's role in the action, reflecting the speaker's mental representation.

In navigating the labyrinth of syntax, the dichotomy between traditional and cognitive approaches adds depth to our understanding of language structure. While

traditional syntax provides a framework of established rules, cognitive approaches illuminate the dynamic interplay of mental processes, enriching our comprehension of how sentences take shape. The synergy of these perspectives contributes to a holistic view of syntax, where formal structures harmonize with cognitive intricacies to form the tapestry of human communication.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Abduraxmanova, Z., & Mamurova, M. (2021). THEORETICAL APPROACH TO SPEECH DISFLUENCIES IN SIMULTANEOUS INTERPRETATION. In *МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ* (pp. 43-45).
2. Абдурахманова, З. (2022). Analysis of pauses and interruptions as elements of linguistic production in simultaneous interpretation. *Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы*, 1(1), 533-535.
3. Crystal, D. (2008). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics* (6th ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
4. Ladefoged, P., & Johnson, K. (2014). *A Course in Phonetics* (7th ed.). Cengage Learning.
5. Roach, P. (2009). *English Phonetics and Phonology: A Practical Course* (4th ed.). Cambridge University Press.
6. Trask, R. L. (2015). *A Dictionary of Phonetics and Phonology* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
7. Yavas, M. (2011). *Applied English Phonology* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell.
8. Niyatova, M. (2021). PECULIARITIES OF PREFIXAL DERIVATIVES IN LANGUAGE LEVELS . *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 2(3).  
извлечено от <https://fll.jdpu.uz/index.php/fll/article/view/954>
9. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In *МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ* (pp. 409-414).
10. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In *МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ* (pp. 415-420).
11. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In *СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ*

ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ  
(pp. 82-84).

12. Hasanov, F & Urinboev Sh. 2023. THE MAIN ROLE OF ENGLISH AS A GLOBAL LANGUAGE // YOSH OLIMLAR ILMIIY AMALIY KONFERENSIYASI. – P. 64.

13. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).

14. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).

15. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).

16. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).

17. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469