THEORY OF PARTS OF THE SENTENCE: PRINCIPAL, SECONDARY PARTS, AND THE PROBLEM OF TERTIARY AND LOOSE PARTS

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan named
Mirzo Ulugbek The faculty of Psychology, department
of Foreign languages Phylology and foreign languages
Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi
Begaliyeva Shaxnoza Shavkat qizi

Annotation: This article delves into the theory of sentence structure, categorizing its components into principal, secondary, tertiary, and loose parts. It begins by defining the principal parts—the subject and predicate—as the grammatical foundation of a sentence. It then examines secondary parts, such as objects, attributes, and adverbials, which enrich the sentence with additional details. The discussion extends to tertiary and loose parts, highlighting their nuanced and debated roles. Tertiary parts are seen as optional qualifiers of secondary elements, while loose parts, such as interjections and parentheticals, serve stylistic and rhetorical functions. The article explores theoretical challenges, including boundary ambiguities, language-specific variations, and the intersection of grammar and style.

By situating these elements within traditional and modern linguistic frameworks, the article underscores the evolving understanding of syntax and its application across languages. It concludes with a reflection on the flexibility and richness of language, emphasizing the significance of ongoing research in this field.

Key words: Sentence structure, principal parts, secondary parts, tertiary parts, loose parts, subject, predicate, object, attribute, adverbial, syntax theory, interjections, parentheticals, apposition, grammatical roles, stylistic choices, linguistic analysis, traditional grammar, modern linguistics, sentence parsing.

Theory of Parts of the Sentence: Principal, Secondary, and the Problem of Tertiary and Loose Parts

In the study of grammar and syntax, the structure of a sentence is analyzed by dividing it into its constituent parts. Each part plays a specific role in conveying meaning and organizing ideas. Traditional grammar recognizes **principal** and **secondary parts of the sentence**, while modern linguistic discussions also explore the concept of **tertiary and loose parts**, which remain a topic of debate. This article examines these categories, their functions, and their theoretical implications.

Principal Parts of the Sentence

The principal parts of a sentence form its core and are essential for its grammatical and semantic completeness. These parts include:

- **Subject**: The doer or the main focus of the sentence. It identifies what or who the sentence is about.
 - o Example: *The cat* chased the mouse.
- **Predicate**: The part that provides information about the subject, often containing the verb. It expresses the action, state, or condition of the subject.
 - Example: The cat *chased the mouse*.

The interplay between the subject and predicate constitutes the backbone of a sentence. Without either, a sentence would lack coherence or grammatical validity.

Secondary Parts of the Sentence

Secondary parts are not essential for grammatical completeness but add detail, context, or specificity. These include:

- Object: A word or phrase that receives the action of the verb. Objects can be:
 - Direct objects: Indicating the receiver of the action (e.g., She baked a cake).
 - Indirect objects: Indicating to whom or for whom the action is performed (e.g., She gave him a gift).
- Attribute (or Modifier): Describes or qualifies nouns, often answering "what kind?" or "which one?"
 - Example: *The red car* sped away.
- Adverbial: Provides additional information about the verb, answering questions like "how?" "when?" "where?" or "why?"

o Example: He spoke *with confidence*.

These parts enrich the sentence by providing context and depth.

The Problem of Tertiary and Loose Parts

The concepts of **tertiary** and **loose parts** are relatively modern developments in syntax theory. They represent linguistic elements that do not fit neatly into the categories of principal or secondary parts. Their roles and boundaries remain debated among grammarians and linguists.

Tertiary Parts

Tertiary parts are minor elements that further qualify or clarify secondary parts. They are often seen as optional, providing an extra layer of detail.

- Example:
 - Secondary part: She answered the question.
 - Tertiary part: She answered the question with great enthusiasm.

Tertiary parts tend to modify modifiers, create emphasis, or introduce subtle nuances. Despite their utility, defining them as a distinct category often overlaps with adverbial or attributive elements.

Loose Parts

Loose parts refer to sentence elements that are grammatically independent or weakly connected to the core structure. These often include interjections, parenthetical expressions, or appositive phrases.

- Examples:
 - o Interjection: *Oh*, I didn't realize you were here.
 - o Parenthetical: She arrived late, *to everyone's surprise*, but gave a great presentation.
 - o Apposition: My friend *John*, a talented musician, is performing tonight.

Loose parts create stylistic or rhetorical effects, adding emotion, commentary, or additional clarification. However, their classification as distinct from adverbials or appositives is subject to scholarly interpretation.

Challenges and Theoretical Implications

The distinctions between principal, secondary, tertiary, and loose parts of the sentence raise several theoretical questions:

- 1. **Boundary Issues**: At what point does a secondary part become tertiary? For example, is "with great enthusiasm" a tertiary part, or is it simply a more detailed adverbial?
- 2. **Language Variation**: The role and recognition of tertiary and loose parts may vary between languages and linguistic traditions, complicating universal definitions.
- 3. Stylistic vs. Grammatical Roles: Loose parts, in particular, often blur the line between syntactic structure and stylistic choice, raising the question of whether they should even be classified as parts of the sentence.

Modern linguistic theories, such as functional grammar and discourse analysis, provide alternative frameworks to study these elements, moving beyond traditional sentence parsing.

Conclusion

The theory of parts of the sentence provides a foundational understanding of sentence structure, distinguishing between principal, secondary, tertiary, and loose parts. While principal parts like the subject and predicate form the grammatical core, secondary parts enrich meaning. The discussion of tertiary and loose parts highlights the complexity of language, revealing its nuances and flexibility. Further research and analysis are needed to resolve the theoretical ambiguities surrounding tertiary and loose parts, but their inclusion in modern grammar discussions demonstrates the evolving nature of linguistic inquiry. The exploration of sentence structure through the lens of principal, secondary, tertiary, and loose parts underscores the dynamic and multifaceted nature of language. While the traditional framework of principal and secondary parts provides clarity and consistency, the inclusion of tertiary and loose parts reveals the intricacies of human expression. These elements allow sentences to convey subtle

shades of meaning, emphasize specific details, or reflect individual stylistic choices. Ultimately, the ongoing debate and study of these categories highlight the evolving nature of linguistic theory.

By examining how these parts function in different languages and contexts, linguists gain deeper insights into the adaptability and richness of language as a tool for communication. Whether in formal grammar or creative expression, understanding these elements equips us to better appreciate and harness the power of language.

REFERENCES

1.

- 2. Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press.
- 3. Crystal, D. (2003). The Cambridge Encyclopedia of the English Language. Cambridge University Press.
- 4. Greenbaum, S., & Quirk, R. (1990). A Student's Grammar of the English Language. Longman.
- 5. Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- 6. Jespersen, O. (1933). Essentials of English Grammar. Routledge.
- 7. Matthews, P. H. (2007). *Syntactic Relations: A Critical Survey*. Cambridge University Press.
- 8. Radford, A. (2009). *Analyzing English Sentences: A Minimalist Approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- 9. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).
- 10. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).
- 11. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

- 12.Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.
- 13. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS), 2(10), 8-10.
- 14. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. *Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*, 2(3), 1-5. Retrieved from https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84
- 15. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 16. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
- 17. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).
- 18. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
- 19. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).
- 20.Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.
- 21. Ibrohimovna, X. M. (2023). The Importance of Methods in Language Teaching Process. Web of Scholars: Multidimensional Research Journal, 2(1), 20-23.

- 22. Тешабоева, H. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes. Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652—656. извлечено от https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759
- 23. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187
- 24. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
- 25. Sharifova Dinora Tohir qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). "NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292–297. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13128
- 26. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187
- 27. Qodirova Aziza Yunusovna, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi. (2023). "VERBS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 280–283. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13126
- 28. Tuxtayeva Aziza Ilhom qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). Word Formation: Compounding . "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023", 1(12), 113–115. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/cusr/article/view/3185
- 29.Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla, & Iskandarova Sarvinoz Shukurullo qizi. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli

- Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126–131. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3191
- 30. Тешабоева, H. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes. Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759
- 31. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. Development of pedagogical technologies in modern sciences, 2(12), 187-192.
- 32. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. *Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari*, **2**(2), 68-70.
- 33.Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY*, 1(9), 94-97.
- 34. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In "

 Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
- 35.Nafisa, T. (2023). VOWELS AND THEIR MODIFACATIONS. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 298-305.
- 36.Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In *Conference on Universal Science Research* 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).
- 37.Nafisa, T. (2023). THE EDUCATION SYSTEM OF THE USA: PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, SCHOOL FORMS. The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development, 1(6), 53-57.
- 38.Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. *Scientific Impulse*, 2(15), 563-567.
- 39. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Word Formation: Compounding. In "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 113-115).
- 40.Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292-297.
- 41. Ojha, D. D. R. (2023). Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi.

- 42.Nafisa, T. (2023). POLITICAL PARTIES IN GREAT BRITAIN. Нововведения Современного Научного Развития в Эпоху Глобализации: Проблемы и Решения, 1(5), 97-101.
- 43.Nafisa, T. (2023). GOVERNMENTAL SYMBOLS OF GREAT BRITAIN; OUTSTANDING DATES OF GREAT BRITAIN. The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development, 1(6), 23-26.
- 44. Abdurozikova, I. I., & Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023). The application of adjectives, as well as issues and solutions around their usage. *TECHNICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN*, 1(4), 296-299.
- 45.Nafisa, T. (2023). POLITICAL PARTIES IN GREAT BRITAIN. Нововведения Современного Научного Развития в Эпоху Глобализации: Проблемы и Решения, 1(5), 97-101.
- 46. Abdurozikova, I. I., & Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023). The application of adjectives, as well as issues and solutions around their usage. *TECHNICAL SCIENCE RESEARCH IN UZBEKISTAN*, 1(4), 296-299.
- 47.Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. Scientific Impulse, 2(15), 563-567.