SENTENCE THEORY: SENTENCE TYPES AND SUBTYPES. SIMPLE SENTENCE AND ITS (STRUCTURAL AND SEMANTIC) TYPES

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

Jizzakh branch of the National
University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek
The faculty of Psychology, department of Foreign languages
Phylology and foreign languages

Safarboyeva Shohista Shuhrat qizi

Student of group 301-21

Annotation: This article provides an in-depth exploration of sentence theory, focusing on the classification of sentence types and subtypes, and examining the structure and meaning of simple sentences. It outlines the primary sentence categories based on structural aspects, such as simple, compound, complex, and compound-complex sentences, and semantic functions like declarative, interrogative, imperative, and exclamatory sentences. The discussion includes an analysis of simple sentences, detailing both their structural forms (monomorphic and polymorphic) and their semantic types (affirmative, negative, and exclamatory). The article emphasizes the foundational role of simple sentences in communication, illustrating how they serve as basic units of language and can be combined to form more complex sentence structures. Additionally, it underscores the importance of understanding sentence types for a comprehensive grasp of language mechanics, highlighting their significance in expressing clear, direct messages as well as more intricate ideas and emotions.

Key words: sentence theory, sentence types, sentence subtypes, simple sentence, structural analysis, semantic analysis, declarative sentences, interrogative sentences, imperative sentences, exclamatory sentences, monomorphic sentence, polymorphic sentence, affirmative sentence, negative sentence, exclamatory sentence, language structure, communication, linguistic function, clause structure, independent clause, dependent clause, compound sentence, complex sentence, compound-complex sentence.

Introduction to Sentence Theory

Sentence theory is an essential component of linguistics that focuses on understanding the structure, meaning, and function of sentences. Sentences, as basic units of language, convey thoughts, requests, commands, or exclamations. Understanding the types and subtypes of sentences, particularly simple sentences, is crucial for deciphering the mechanics of communication and the way different sentence structures influence meaning. This article explores sentence types, their subtypes, and delves into the analysis of simple sentences, both from a structural and semantic perspective.

Sentence Types: An Overview

Sentences can be classified in various ways, but they are most commonly categorized according to their grammatical structure and their communicative function. From a structural perspective, sentences are classified into **simple**, **compound**, **complex**, and **compound-complex** sentences. From a semantic (meaning) perspective, sentences can be classified into **declarative**, **interrogative**, **imperative**, and **exclamatory** sentences.

Structural Classification of Sentences

Simple Sentence: A simple sentence contains a single independent clause. It expresses a complete thought and can stand alone. The simple sentence can be further analyzed based on its internal structure, which includes the subject (S), predicate (P), and any objects or complements.

Example: "She smiled."

Compound Sentence: A compound sentence consists of two or more independent clauses joined by coordinating conjunctions such as "and," "but," "or," "nor," "for," "so," or "yet."

Example: "She smiled, and he waved."

Complex Sentence: A complex sentence contains one independent clause and at least one dependent (subordinate) clause, which cannot stand alone.

Example: "She smiled because he waved."

Compound-Complex Sentence: A compound-complex sentence combines elements of both compound and complex sentences. It has two or more independent clauses and at least one dependent clause.

Example: "She smiled when he waved, and he laughed."

Semantic Classification of Sentences

Declarative Sentences: These sentences make a statement or express an idea. They are the most common type of sentence and end with a period.

Example: "The sky is blue."

Interrogative Sentences: These sentences ask a question. They begin with a wh-word (who, what, where, when, why, how) or an auxiliary verb and end with a question mark.

Example: "What time is it?"

Imperative Sentences: These sentences give a command, request, or instruction. They can end with a period or exclamation mark, depending on the tone.

Example: "Please pass the salt."

Exclamatory Sentences: These sentences express strong emotion or excitement. They often end with an exclamation mark.

Example: "What a beautiful sunset!"

The Simple Sentence: Structure and Types

A **simple sentence** is defined as a sentence that consists of only one independent clause, making it structurally the most basic form of a sentence. It can convey a complete thought with a subject and a predicate, and it does not contain any subordinate clauses.

Structural Types of Simple Sentences

Simple sentences can be classified based on their internal structure. They can either be:

Monomorphic (Single-clause) Sentences: This type involves a single subject-predicate structure, typically featuring a subject (S), a verb (V), and sometimes an object (O), complement (C), or adverbial (A).

- Example: "He runs."

Polymorphic (Multiple-predicate) Sentences: In this structure, the subject remains the same, but the sentence includes multiple predicates (verbs) connected by conjunctions, such as "and" or "or."

- Example: "She sang and danced."

These structural types of simple sentences reflect the core components (subject and predicate) and how they combine to express different meanings.

Semantic Types of Simple Sentences

From a semantic perspective, simple sentences also have various types based on the function or purpose they serve in communication:

Affirmative Sentences: These sentences make positive statements, affirming something about the subject.

- Example: "The sun rises in the east."

Negative Sentences: These sentences negate or deny something about the subject, often using auxiliary verbs and negation words like "not" or "never."

- Example: "He does not like coffee."

Exclamatory Sentences: While exclamatory sentences are often associated with longer sentence structures, a simple sentence can also convey strong emotion or surprise when it is expressed forcefully.

- Example: "How wonderful!"

The Role of Sentence Types in Communication

The different types of sentences serve distinct functions in communication, whether it's conveying facts, asking questions, issuing commands, or expressing feelings. The choice of sentence type, both in terms of structure and meaning, significantly influences the clarity and intent of the message being conveyed.

Simple Sentences are particularly useful for conveying clear, direct messages without complexity. For example, an affirmative simple sentence might be used to state a fact, while a negative simple sentence could provide a denial or contradiction.

Compound and Complex Sentences, on the other hand, enable the expression of more sophisticated ideas, relationships, and conditions. Complex sentences allow for the inclusion of dependent clauses, which can add depth and nuance to the meaning.

Interrogative and Exclamatory Sentences contribute to the emotional and interactive aspects of communication, inviting responses or expressing strong emotions.

Conclusion

Sentence theory helps in understanding the multifaceted nature of language and its ability to convey meaning. By classifying sentences according to structure and semantics, linguists can better analyze how language works in both its grammatical forms and its communicative roles. Simple sentences, as the foundational building blocks of language, offer both structural and semantic flexibility. Their study reveals the deep connections between grammar, meaning, and communication, providing insights into the way humans use language to articulate thoughts, emotions, and intentions. In summary, sentence theory provides valuable insight into the intricate relationship between structure and meaning in language. By examining sentence types and subtypes, we gain a deeper understanding of how simple sentences, as well as more complex constructions, function to convey a wide range of messages. Simple sentences, in their structural and semantic diversity, represent the foundational units of communication, offering clarity and precision. However, when combined with more complex structures, they form the basis for more nuanced, sophisticated expressions. Ultimately, sentence theory not only enhances our knowledge of linguistic structures but also highlights the dynamic and creative ways in which language serves to express human thought, emotion, and interaction.

REFERENCES

1. Chomsky, N. (1981). *Lectures on Government and Binding*. Dordrecht: Foris Publications.

2. Crystal, D. (2008). *A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics* (6th ed.). Oxford: Blackwell.

3. Greenbaum, S., & Quirk, R. (1990). *A Student's Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.

4. Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

5. Jespersen, O. (1933). *The Philosophy of Grammar*. London: Allen & Unwin.

6. Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (2002). *A Communicative Grammar of English* (3rd ed.). London: Longman.

7. Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.

8. Teshaboyeva, N., & Mamayoqubova, S. (2020). COMMUNICATIVE APPROACH TO LANGUAGE TEACHING. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 409-414).

9. Teshaboyeva, N. (2020). LINGUISTIC PERSONALITY, ITS STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS IN THE NEW PERSPECTIVE DIRECTIONS. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 415-420).

10. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2019). TEACHING ENGLISH THROUGH LITERATURE INTESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. In СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ТЕХНОЛОГИИ: АКТУАЛЬНЫЕ ВОПРОСЫ, ДОСТИЖЕНИЯ И ИННОВАЦИИ (pp. 82-84).

11. Хидирова, Д., & Тешабоева, Н. (2022). Pedagogical conditions for the development of the healthy thinking in students. Zamonaviy innovatsion tadqiqotlarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar, 1(1), 120-122.

12. Gaybullayeva, N. D. K., & Kizi, T. N. Z. (2022). THE ROLE OF INNOVATIVE METHODS FOR LISTENING COMPREHENSION IN TEACHING LANGUAGE LEARNERS FOREIGN LANGUAGES AND MAINLY ENGLISH. *Central Asian Research Journal for Interdisciplinary Studies (CARJIS)*, *2*(10), 8-10.

13. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi, Jurayev Muhammadrahim Murod o'g'li, & Mamirova Munisa Rajab qizi. (2021). Language Learning Culturally and the Role of Literature in Teaching Process. *Central Asian Journal of Theoretical and Applied Science*, *2*(3), 1-5. Retrieved from

FAN, TA'LIM, TEXNOLOGIYA VA ISHLAB CHIQARISH INTEGRATSIYASI ASOSIDA RIVOJLANISH ISTIQBOLLARI
https://www.cajotas.centralasianstudies.org/index.php/CAJOTAS/article/view/84

14. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF TOURISM IN PRESENT DAY. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
15. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). THE MODERN INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 5(5).
16. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Adjective word group and its types. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 59-61).
17. Teshaboyeva, N. Z. (2023, November). Modifications of Consonants in Connected speech. In " Conference on Universal Science Research 2023" (Vol. 1, No. 11, pp. 7-9).
18. Teshaboyeva, N., & Rayimberdiyev, S. (2023, May). THE IMPORTANCE OF USING MULTIMEDIA TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING ENGLISH CLASSES. In Academic International Conference on Multi-Disciplinary Studies and Education (Vol. 1, No. 8, pp. 149-153).
19. Nafisa, T., & Marina, S. (2023). TEACHING AND LEARNING OF ENGLISH VOCABULARY IN TESL AND TEFL CLASSROOMS. International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research, 465-469.
20. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187
21. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). CHANGES OF MEANING OF WORDS. Центральноазиатский журнал образования и инноваций, 2(12), 126-129.
22. Sharifova Dinora Tohir qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). " NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 292–297. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13128
23. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla kizi, & Akramov Ibrohimjon. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM

TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 109–113. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3187

24. Qodirova Aziza Yunusovna, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi. (2023). "VERBS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES". Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке, 2(16), 280–283. извлечено от http://nauchniyimpuls.ru/index.php/noiv/article/view/13126

25. Tuxtayeva Aziza Ilhom qizi, & Teshaboyeva Nafisa. (2023). Word Formation: Compounding . "Conference on Universal Science Research 2023", 1(12), 113–115. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/cusr/article/view/3185

26. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla, & Iskandarova Sarvinoz Shukurullo qizi. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES. "XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" Nomli Respublika Ilmiy-Amaliy Konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126–131. Retrieved from https://universalpublishings.com/index.php/itfttdm/article/view/3191

27. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes . Информатика и инженерные технологии, 1(2), 652–656. извлечено от https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/computer-engineering/article/view/25759

28. Teshaboyeva, N., & Yakubova, N. (2023). WORD FORMATION. COMPOUNDING. *Development of pedagogical technologies in modern sciences*, *2*(12), 187-192.

29. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. *Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari*, *2*(2), 68-70.

30. Nafisa, T. (2023). THE USA ECONOMY, INDUSTRY, MANUFACTURING AND NATURAL RESOURCES OF GREAT BRITAIN. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RECENTLY SCIENTIFIC RESEARCHER'S THEORY*, *1*(9), 94-97.

31. Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).

32.Nafisa, T. (2023). VOWELS AND THEIR MODIFACATIONS. *Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*, *2*(16), 298-305.

33.Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Secondary ways of word formation. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 109-112).

34.Nafisa, T. (2023). THE EDUCATION SYSTEM OF THE USA: PRESCHOOL EDUCATION, SECONDARY AND HIGHER EDUCATION, SCHOOL FORMS. *The Role of Exact Sciences in the Era of Modern Development*, *1*(6), 53-57.

35.Qizi, T. N. Z., & Umedovich, M. Y. (2023). AMERICAN-BASED PRONUNCIATION STANDARDS OF ENGLISH. *Scientific Impulse*, *2*(15), 563-567.

36.Nafisa, T. (2023, December). Word Formation: Compounding. In *" Conference on Universal Science Research 2023"* (Vol. 1, No. 12, pp. 113-115).

37.Nafisa, T. (2023). NOUNS AND THEIR GRAMMATICAL CATEGORIES. *Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*, *2*(16), 292-297.