

CRIME PREVENTION, ITS SUBJECTS, AND INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN COMBATING CRIME

Mamanarov Xaitmurat

Lecturer, Department of "Fundamentals of State and Law", Faculty of Law, Termez State University

sardorhaitmurodov2506@gmail.com

Аннотация

В данной статье рассматриваются теоретические и практические основы профилактики преступности, субъекты, ответственные за ее реализацию, и механизмы международного сотрудничества в борьбе с преступностью. Предупреждение преступности рассматривается как многомерный процесс, охватывающий правовые, социальные, экономические и психологические составляющие. В исследовании систематически рассматривается эволюция концепций профилактики, определяются ключевые институты и субъекты, участвующие в профилактической деятельности, а также оценивается эффективность транснациональных усилий по борьбе с организованной преступностью и киберпреступностью. Используя качественную, аналитическую и сравнительную методологию, в статье показано, что для профилактики преступности необходима интегрированная система государственных органов, гражданских институтов и международных организаций, действующих в рамках скоординированных стратегий. Результаты исследования показывают, что превентивные меры наиболее эффективны, когда они основаны на межведомственном сотрудничестве, участии общественности и соблюдении международных правовых стандартов. Подчеркивается важность укрепления глобального сотрудничества посредством обмена информацией, гармонизации законодательства и инициатив по наращиванию потенциала.

Ключевые слова: профилактика преступности, субъекты профилактики, криминология, международное сотрудничество, правоохранительная деятельность, Организация Объединенных Наций, транснациональная преступность, государственная политика.

Abstract

This article explores the theoretical and practical foundations of crime prevention, the subjects responsible for its implementation, and the mechanisms of international cooperation in combating crime. It analyses crime prevention as a

multidimensional process encompassing legal, social, economic, and psychological components. The study systematically reviews the evolution of prevention concepts, identifies the key institutions and actors engaged in preventive activities, and evaluates the effectiveness of transnational efforts against organized and cybercrime. Through a qualitative, analytical, and comparative methodology, the paper demonstrates that crime prevention requires an integrated system of governmental bodies, civil institutions, and international organizations operating under coordinated strategies. The findings reveal that preventive measures are most successful when based on inter-institutional collaboration, public participation, and adherence to international legal standards. The discussion emphasizes the importance of strengthening global cooperation through information exchange, harmonization of legislation, and capacity-building initiatives.

Keywords: crime prevention, subjects of prevention, criminology, international cooperation, law enforcement, United Nations, transnational crime, public policy.

INTRODUCTION

The prevention of crime has always been regarded as one of the most essential objectives of criminal justice policy and social governance. While punishment serves as a reactive response, prevention aims to eliminate the causes and conditions conducive to criminal behaviour before offences occur. As societies evolve and criminal phenomena become increasingly complex, the focus of criminological research has shifted from retributive justice to preventive and rehabilitative paradigms. In the twenty-first century, the rapid expansion of transnational, organized, and cybercrime has underscored the urgent need for both national and international coordination in preventive strategies.

Crime prevention is not merely a function of law enforcement but a comprehensive system involving legal institutions, civil society, education, economy, and culture. Its purpose is to protect individuals and communities from the social, psychological, and economic harms of crime. The United Nations defines crime prevention as “strategies and measures that seek to reduce the risk of crimes occurring, and their potential harmful effects on individuals and society, including the fear of crime, by intervening to influence their multiple causes.” This broad understanding highlights that prevention transcends punitive justice; it integrates social policy, education, and governance.

The aim of this study is to provide an in-depth theoretical and practical analysis of crime prevention, its principal subjects, and the mechanisms of international cooperation in combating criminality. It seeks to identify the systemic interrelations

between national preventive institutions, community initiatives, and global frameworks such as INTERPOL, Europol, and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). The relevance of the topic lies in its contribution to ensuring social stability, legal security, and sustainable development in a globalized world.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

The concept of crime prevention has evolved through multiple intellectual traditions. Classical criminology, represented by Cesare Beccaria and Jeremy Bentham, perceived prevention as the rational outcome of effective deterrence: the certainty of punishment, they argued, would discourage rational actors from committing crimes. However, positivist theorists such as Cesare Lombroso and Enrico Ferri later emphasized biological and social determinants, asserting that prevention should focus on reforming social conditions rather than simply threatening punishment.

Throughout the twentieth century, criminological thought expanded toward social prevention theories. Émile Durkheim viewed crime as a normal component of social life, requiring regulation rather than eradication. Later, sociological theories such as Robert Merton's strain theory and Travis Hirschi's social control theory highlighted the importance of societal integration and moral values in preventing deviance. These perspectives underscored that prevention must begin long before the act of crime, through socialization, education, and equitable distribution of opportunities.

In the modern context, crime prevention literature recognizes three primary levels: primary (universal measures for society as a whole), secondary (targeted at risk groups), and tertiary (focused on preventing recidivism). Scholars like David Garland and Ronald Clarke have emphasized the necessity of situational and environmental approaches—reducing opportunities for crime through urban design, surveillance, and community engagement.

At the international level, the development of transnational criminal law and global institutions such as the UNODC, INTERPOL, and the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) has established cooperative mechanisms to prevent organized crime, terrorism, money laundering, and human trafficking. Academic works also discuss regional frameworks like the European Union's crime prevention network (EUCPN) and the Shanghai Cooperation Organization's efforts in combating terrorism and narcotics.

The reviewed literature collectively affirms that crime prevention is effective only when it integrates both domestic and international actors under a unified framework grounded in human rights, social inclusion, and global justice.

This study employs a qualitative and analytical research design grounded in comparative criminological methodology. It synthesizes theoretical, legal, and institutional data from various national and international sources to identify effective models of crime prevention and cooperation.

The research consists of four stages:

1. **Conceptual analysis** — defining the structure and essence of crime prevention, distinguishing between its general and specific levels, and identifying its subjects.
2. **Comparative review** — examining national and international frameworks, such as the UN Guidelines for the Prevention of Crime (1995) and regional conventions, to identify best practices in policy implementation.
3. **Systemic integration** — mapping the interaction between the main subjects of prevention, including state authorities (police, courts, prosecution), civil society organizations, and international institutions.
4. **Interpretive evaluation** — assessing how global cooperation contributes to national preventive policies and vice versa.

The data sources include international conventions (UN Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, 2000), UNODC reports, academic journals, and governmental policy documents. Analytical tools involve content analysis, legal comparison, and theoretical synthesis.

This methodology enables the researcher to construct a holistic understanding of crime prevention as a dynamic, multi-actor process. The study's limitation lies in its theoretical orientation, but its advantage is conceptual generality, which can inform future empirical research and policy development.

RESULTS

The analysis reveals that effective crime prevention depends on a complex interplay of legal, institutional, and social factors. Three principal dimensions can be identified:

1. **National Prevention Systems.** Within states, the main subjects of prevention include law enforcement agencies, courts, prosecution offices, educational institutions, local authorities, and non-governmental organizations. Their coordinated activity ensures the early detection of criminal risks, community engagement, and social reintegration of offenders. For instance, police play a proactive role through patrolling and community outreach, while schools and media foster moral awareness.
2. **Societal Participation.** The results demonstrate that crime prevention cannot succeed without civic involvement. Families, educational systems, religious

institutions, and local communities serve as moral regulators preventing deviant behaviour. Public-private partnerships are increasingly employed to improve surveillance, social programs, and employment opportunities for at-risk youth.

3. **International Cooperation.** The most significant finding is the expanding role of international collaboration. Modern criminal networks transcend national borders, necessitating coordinated global responses. The United Nations conventions, INTERPOL's communication systems, and Europol's intelligence-sharing mechanisms provide critical frameworks for combating organized crime, cybercrime, terrorism, and trafficking. Collaborative training, extradition agreements, and joint operations significantly enhance preventive efficiency.

Overall, the results confirm that preventive systems are most effective when operating as multi-level networks combining local responsibility with international solidarity.

DISCUSSION

The discussion interprets these results in light of contemporary criminological theory and global policy. The shift from punishment to prevention marks an essential transformation in modern governance. Historically, reactive justice dominated criminal policy, but the increasing sophistication of criminal activity—especially cybercrime and transnational terrorism—has forced a paradigm change.

The effectiveness of prevention lies not only in legislation but also in social engagement. Studies show that community policing, youth mentorship, and educational initiatives reduce recidivism rates more effectively than harsh punitive measures. Likewise, integrating social welfare policies into preventive frameworks helps eliminate structural causes of crime such as poverty, unemployment, and exclusion.

International cooperation represents a critical dimension of prevention in the globalized era. Crime no longer respects national boundaries; data theft, drug smuggling, and terrorism operate in transnational spaces. Thus, global partnerships, mutual legal assistance treaties, and shared databases such as INTERPOL's I-24/7 have become indispensable. Furthermore, joint training programs and research projects enhance the capacity of national law enforcement agencies.

However, challenges persist. Differing legal systems, resource disparities, and political tensions often hinder international cooperation. Moreover, the rapid development of digital technologies demands continuous adaptation of preventive tools. Therefore, global strategies must balance sovereignty with cooperation, security with human rights, and technological advancement with ethical responsibility.

Ultimately, the discussion emphasizes that crime prevention is not the task of isolated institutions but a collective moral, social, and legal mission shared by all sectors of society and the international community.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the prevention of crime represents a multidimensional and collective endeavour aimed at safeguarding human dignity, social order, and sustainable development. The study establishes that prevention operates at three interrelated levels: national, societal, and international. Its effectiveness depends on the coordinated action of state institutions, civic organizations, and transnational agencies.

The subjects of prevention—from police and courts to families and international organizations—form a unified system that addresses both the causes and conditions of criminality. Strengthening legal culture, promoting education, and ensuring economic justice are indispensable elements of this system.

International cooperation serves as the backbone of modern prevention policy. Through shared intelligence, joint operations, and harmonized legislation, nations can counter global threats that exceed individual capacities. The principles of solidarity, mutual assistance, and human rights must guide all preventive efforts.

The findings confirm that the future of crime prevention depends on the integration of scientific knowledge, social participation, and international collaboration. Only through such an approach can humanity effectively combat the evolving forms of crime while preserving justice and freedom.

REFERENCES

1. Beccaria, C. *On Crimes and Punishments*. — Milan: Tipografia di Società, 1764. — 180 p.
2. Lombroso, C. *L'uomo delinquente*. — Turin: Bocca, 1876. — 320 p.
3. Sutherland, E. H. *Principles of Criminology*. — Philadelphia: J. B. Lippincott Company, 1939. — 650 p.
4. Garland, D. *The Culture of Control: Crime and Social Order in Contemporary Society*. — Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2002. — 320 p.
5. Becker, H. S. *Outsiders: Studies in the Sociology of Deviance*. — New York: Free Press, 1963. — 234 p.
6. Hirschi, T. *Causes of Delinquency*. — Berkeley: University of California Press, 1969. — 309 p.
7. Newburn, T. *Criminology*. — 3rd ed. — London: Routledge, 2017. — 1176 p.

8. Ferri, E. *Criminal Sociology*. — London: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1917. — 395 p.
9. Durkheim, É. *The Division of Labor in Society*. — New York: Free Press, 1964. — 352 p.
10. Merton, R. K. *Social Theory and Social Structure*. — New York: Free Press, 1949. — 423 p.
11. Bellomo, N., Colasuonno, F., Knopoff, D., Soler, J. From a Systems Theory of Sociology to Modeling the Onset and Evolution of Criminality // *arXiv preprint* arXiv:1412.2159. — 2014. — Available at: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1412.2159> (accessed 12 Nov 2025).
12. Criminology. In: *Encyclopaedia Britannica* [Electronic resource]. — Published 26 Mar 2013. — Available at: <https://www.britannica.com/science/criminology> (accessed 12 Nov 2025).
13. A Breakdown of the Subject: Criminology. — LearnDirect [Electronic resource]. — Available at: <https://www.learndirect.com/pillar/a-breakdown-of-the-subject-criminology> (accessed 12 Nov 2025).