



**THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LINGUISTIC COMPETENCE AND
COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE**

Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydulla qizi

nafisateshaboyeva@gmail.com

Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan

named after Mirzo Ulugbek

The Faculty of Psychology, the department of Foreign languages

Philology and teaching languages

Igor Chekulay Vladimirovich

Professor of the Department of English Philology and Intercultural Communication,
Belgorod State National Research University, Belgorod, Russian Federation.

Student of group 103-23: Haydarova Sevinch Ummat qizi

Abstract: The teaching of speaking skills in second language acquisition has evolved from a focus on grammatical accuracy to an emphasis on meaningful communication. This shift reflects the theoretical distinction between linguistic competence and communicative competence. Linguistic competence, as defined by Noam Chomsky, refers to the mastery of the grammatical system of a language, including syntax, morphology, phonology, and semantics. In contrast, communicative competence, introduced by Dell Hymes and developed by Canale and Swain, incorporates the ability to use language appropriately in various social and cultural contexts. This paper explores the differences between these two types of competence and how they shape pedagogical approaches to teaching speaking skills. It argues for an integrated model that balances grammatical accuracy with pragmatic fluency, providing learners with both the structural foundation and the communicative strategies necessary for effective spoken interaction.

Keywords: Linguistic competence, communicative competence, speaking skills, second language acquisition, grammar, pragmatics, language teaching, fluency, accuracy, communicative approach.

Introduction





The acquisition of speaking skills is one of the most critical components in learning a foreign or second language. For decades, language instruction was heavily influenced by structural linguistics, where the primary goal was to develop learners' grammatical accuracy. However, over time, researchers and educators realized that grammatical knowledge alone does not equate to effective communication. The distinction between linguistic competence and communicative competence emerged to highlight this gap.

This paper aims to differentiate between the two types of competence and analyze their roles in the development of speaking skills. It also examines how each competence influences teaching methodologies and suggests best practices for integrating them into the classroom.

Linguistic Competence: Focus on Form

Linguistic competence refers to a speaker's knowledge of the rules that govern a language's structure. Coined by Chomsky (1965), it includes phonological (sound patterns), morphological (word formation), syntactic (sentence structure), and semantic (meaning) systems. In practical terms, it allows a speaker to produce grammatically correct and coherent sentences.

In speaking instruction, emphasis on linguistic competence often involves:

- Grammar drills and rule memorization
- Pronunciation exercises
- Vocabulary development
- Sentence construction practice

While this approach can help learners produce error-free utterances, it often fails to prepare them for spontaneous, real-time interaction.

Communicative Competence: Focus on Use

Dell Hymes (1972) introduced **communicative competence** as a broader concept that encompasses not only the formal knowledge of language but also its functional use in social contexts. Canale and Swain (1980) further categorized it into four components:

- **Grammatical competence:** similar to Chomsky's concept
- **Sociolinguistic competence:** ability to understand social norms and context





- **Discourse competence:** ability to produce and interpret cohesive and coherent texts
- **Strategic competence:** ability to compensate for breakdowns in communication

Communicative competence promotes the use of:

- Role-plays and simulations
- Group discussions
- Real-life communication scenarios
- Functional language use (e.g., making requests, giving advice)

This competence emphasizes fluency, appropriateness, and meaning rather than just form.

Comparative Analysis in Teaching Speaking

Pedagogical Focus

Feature	Linguistic Competence	Communicative Competence
Focus	Grammar, pronunciation, vocabulary	Meaning, context, interaction
Goal	Accuracy	Fluency and appropriateness
Method	Repetition, drills	Role-play, task-based activities
Feedback	Form-based correction	Negotiation of meaning

Classroom Implications

- A classroom focused solely on linguistic competence may produce students who are grammatically accurate but hesitant or awkward in conversation.
- A classroom focused solely on communicative competence may foster fluent speakers who make persistent grammar errors.

Hence, a hybrid approach that incorporates both is essential.

Integrating Both Competences in Speaking Instruction

To create effective speaking instruction, educators must design lessons that integrate both types of competence. Some practical strategies include:

- Contextualized grammar teaching: Teach grammar points within communicative activities.
- Task-based learning: Focus on completing meaningful tasks where language use is necessary.
- Interactive techniques: Use pair and group work to practice language in real-time.





- Balanced assessment: Evaluate both accuracy (grammar) and fluency (interaction and appropriateness).
- Use of authentic materials: Films, podcasts, dialogues, and interviews expose learners to real-world language use.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the distinction between linguistic competence and communicative competence is not merely theoretical but has profound implications for language pedagogy, particularly in the teaching of speaking skills. Linguistic competence provides the structural foundation for language, enabling learners to construct grammatically correct sentences and understand the rules of language. However, this competence alone is insufficient for successful communication, especially in real-world contexts where meaning, intent, and social norms play vital roles. Communicative competence encompasses a broader set of skills that allow learners to use language functionally — to request, apologize, persuade, agree, disagree, and more — all while adapting to the situation, audience, and cultural expectations. The classroom that focuses solely on linguistic competence may produce students who can pass grammar tests but cannot hold a conversation. Conversely, an overemphasis on communicative activities without attention to grammatical accuracy may result in fluent but error-prone speakers.

The optimal approach lies in integrating both competencies within the speaking curriculum. Teachers should aim to build students' grammatical knowledge while providing ample opportunities for authentic, context-rich communication. Strategies such as task-based learning, information-gap activities, and role-plays that include target grammar structures are effective for this purpose. Feedback mechanisms should also balance correction of grammatical errors and guidance on pragmatic language use.

Ultimately, the goal of speaking instruction is to develop speakers who are not only correct but also confident, clear, culturally aware, and socially appropriate in their communication. Therefore, fostering both linguistic and communicative competence is essential for producing effective and versatile language users.

The list of used literature

1. Tylor, G. J. (2017). *Linguistic or Communicative Competence – Which Wins?* Journal of Modern Languages, 1(1), 95–99. Retrieved from <https://ijie.um.edu.my/index.php/JML/article/view/3975>





2. Rasulov, D. (2022). *Principles of Communicative Competence in Modern Linguistics*. ORIENS Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences. Retrieved from <https://oriens.uz/en/journal/article/principles-of-communicative-competence-in-modern-linguistics/>
3. Pardaeva, D. T. (2021). *Linguistic Ability as a Key Component of Foreign Language Communicative Competence*. Conference Zone, 333–334. Retrieved from <https://www.conferencezone.org/index.php/cz/article/view/630>
4. Sakharova, A. V., Smirnova, E. L., Ostapenko, O. G., Grushina, M. V., & Larina, S. G. (2021). *Foreign Language Communicative Competence as a Component of the Personal Linguistic Capital*. European Proceedings of Social and Behavioural Sciences. <https://www.europeanproceedings.com/article/10.15405/epsbs.2021.12.98>
5. Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2022). The significant role of literature in teaching and learning process. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 1(6), 70-72.
6. Teshaboyeva, N. (2023). Compound sentences in the English language. *Yangi O'zbekiston taraqqiyotida tadqiqotlarni o'rni va rivojlanish omillari*, 2(2), 68-70.
7. Teshaboyeva, N., & Xatamova, M. (2024). ANALYZING LANGUAGE IN SOCIAL INTERACTION: PRAGMATICS, SPEECH ACT THEORY AND DISCOURSE ANALYSIS. *Medicine, pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 2(11), 329-336.
8. Teshaboyeva Nafisa Zubaydullayevna “Teaching vocabulary in ELS classroom”. Anne Anderson and Tony Lynch (1988). *Listening*. Oxford University Press
9. Тешабоева, Н. (2023). Teaching writing as a major part of productive skills in mixed ability classes.
10. Zubaydulla, T. N. (2023). THE CLASSIFICATION OF SYNONYMS AND THEIR SPECIFIC FEATURES." XXI ASRDA INNOVATSION TEXNOLOGIYALAR, FAN VA TA'LIM TARAQQIYOTIDAGI DOLZARB MUAMMOLAR" nomli respublika ilmiy-amaliy konferensiyasi, 1(12), 126-131.

